## EXHIBIT 8

Picard v. Merkin

**J. Ezra Merkin 2-24-15** 

1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In Re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT Adv.Pro.No.

08-01789 (BRL)

Debtor.

SECURITIES LLC,

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

Adv.Pro.No.

09-1182 (BRL)

v.

J. EZRA MERKIN, GABRIEL CAPITAL, L.P., ARIEL FUND LTD., ASCOT PARTNERS, L.P., GABRIEL CAPITAL CORPORATION,

_	_			-					
D	+	_	n	$\sim$	2	n	+	C	

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF J. EZRA MERKIN, as reported by Nancy C. Bendish, Certified Court Reporter, RMR, CRR, and Notary Public of the State of New York, at the offices of Baker Hostetler, 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York, on Tuesday, February 24, 2015, commencing at 9:47 a.m.

		9
1	Q. Yes. Would it be fair to say at	
2	least ten or more times in the Madoff matter?	
3	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
4	A. Do you mean in depositions?	
5	Q. Yes.	
6	A. I don't really know the number,	
7	doesn't sound unreasonable.	
8	Q. Okay. So, you know the drill, if	
9	you have a problem with any of my questions, I'd	
10	like you to just tell me that and, as I said	
11	earlier, I'll try and clean them up for you.	
12	A. Okay.	
13	Q. Why don't we start at the	
14	beginning, so to speak, with the benefit of your	
15	educational background, starting with college.	
16	A. I attended Columbia College in the	
17	City of New York and I graduated in 1976.	
18	Q. While in college, did you take any	
19	business courses?	
20	A. I really don't remember. I don't	
21	think so.	
22	Q. Okay. And thereafter, what did	
23	you do after you graduated from college?	
24	A. I attended law school.	
25	Q. And where did you go to law	

		10
1	school?	
2	A. I went to Harvard Law School and I	
3	graduated the class of 1979.	
4	Q. Did you take any and we're	
5	going to explain what I mean by this	
6	business-related courses while at Harvard Law	
7	School? By way of example, accounting for	
8	lawyers?	
9	A. I took accounting in law school.	
10	Q. Okay.	
11	A. I took corporations, corporate	
12	finance. Just not quite sure what is	
13	responsive.	
14	Q. Yeah, that's fair.	
15	How about securities laws, the	
16	Securities Act of 1933, for example?	
17	A. I think I took a class that	
18	covered aspects of securities regulation.	
19	Q. And would that include also the	
20	Exchange Act of 1934, to your recollection?	
21	A. It certainly might have. I just	
22	don't remember precisely.	
23	Q. Okay. Were there any other I	
24	may have overlooked some business courses that	
25	you may have included within your law school	

		11
1	curriculum. Could you tell me if I have.	
2	A. I just don't want to I took	
3	first year contracts. I'm not sure that is what	
4	you have in mind.	
5	Q. Well, it's a start, yeah.	
6	A. Okay. I guess I took first year	
7	property. I imagine New York City trust and	
8	estates. The ones I mentioned before	
9	Q. That's fine.	
10	A corporations, corporate	
.1	finance, some form of securities regulation,	
.2	accounting, those are the ones that come to my	
L3	mind at the moment. There may have been others.	
4	I don't remember at this time.	
15	Q. Upon your graduation, what did you	
.6	do next? From law school that is, I'm sorry.	
١7	A. I took the bar exam in the summer	
18	of 1979 and started in the fall of 1979 at a law	
L9	firm in New York City called Milbank, Tweed,	
20	Hadley & McCloy.	
21	Q. How did you get to Milbank?	
22	A. Well, I had worked at Milbank the	
23	prior summer, which would have been the summer	
24	of 1978, so I was coming back to Milbank. I	
25	wasn't getting there for the first time	

		15
1	A. Which aspects?	
2	Q. The sale of stock, stock exchange.	
3	Making markets.	
4	A. I couldn't say yes and I couldn't	
5	say no. I guess I could say I really don't	
6	remember. The Exchange was a regulated entity.	
7	Some of the materials some of the assignments	
8	focused on the regulatory status of the exchange	
9	and the ongoing and it's ongoing nature.	
10	Q. Let me try a different way, which	
11	may be helpful.	
12	What did you do did there come	
13	a time when you left Milbank?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. And how long were you at Milbank?	
16	A. More or less three years.	
17	Q. And what, if anything, did you do	
18	after that?	
19	A. I went to work for a small money	
20	management firm that had started up not very	
21	long before I got there, called Halcyon	
22	Investments.	
23	Q. And what do you mean by the term	
24	"money management firm"?	
25	A. A firm that had been given to it	

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

30

the bankruptcy analysis that covered or that accompanied an analysis or the attractiveness of the debt piece, the more likely the original lender might just say the easiest way to restructure this from my point of view is to pick up the telephone and sell it. And if we thought we could buy it at a sufficiently steep discount to what our analysis thought it would be worth at the end, that made an attractive investment. Put another way, I think there was an attempt to try hard to invest across the board of the portfolio not only, but significantly in securities that were undergoing some restructuring that had an analyzable conclusion. And a lot of that analyzable conclusion was driven by legal analysis and a lot of the analysis was driven by legal training, if not specifically legal analysis. Let's move on, if we could, to did Q. there come a time when you left Halcyon? Α. Yes. And when was that? Q. That would have been later in the 1980s. So I'd say something like 1985 or maybe

a year, half a year earlier, half a year later,

something like that.

- Q. And what, if anything, did you do next?
- A. I joined a firm that had been started by someone who I had been an associate of and he had been an associate of mine at Halcyon. The name of that firm was Gotham Capital. The name of that person was Joel, J-o-e-l, Greenblatt. And I joined him in his operation more or less at the time I indicated.
- Q. This is a very broad question, but perhaps it will work. What did Gotham do?
- A. So, Gotham did a lot of things that we had done at Halcyon. The tray of attractive candidates for the portfolio had evolved, which is very usual in the investment business, or usual enough. So that, very roughly, and from memory that is not to be depended on in this particular in this particular period of time or set of questions, Halcyon might have had as much as 75 or 80 percent in bankruptcies and 20 or 25 percent in merger arbitrage when I got there, and that could have flipped over a two— or three—year period. Not deliberately. You go where the

next line.

- A. Um-hum.
- Q. What is your understanding of the term "options arbitrage"?
- A. Well, substantially all of the assets of Ascot at that point were managed by the Madoff organization and Bernie Madoff specifically and they were engaging in what I would consider options arbitrage. Meaning arbitraging prices of the prices of options and the prices of the securities to which those options can be converted into or exercised into or assigned to. So that it's your arbitraging price relationships between underlying securities and options struck against those securities.
- Q. Okay. And then skip over

  Cerberus. It then says "managing partner" and it has your name.
  - A. Um-hum.
- Q. Were you the managing partner -well, you've said Capital Group was just a term
  used. Of what organizations were you managing
  partner?
  - A. One that comes to mind I'm pretty

		70
1	don't want to read it to you, Mr. Merkin.	
2	A. Um-hum.	
3	Q. Just in general terms what are you	
4	describing here? Is this a particular fund or a	
5	strategy?	
6	A. Yeah, so I think this is still	
7	Gabriel Capital LP.	
8	Q. Okay.	
9	A. And would have some applicability,	
10	therefore, to Ariel Fund Limited. We ran those	
11	two reasonably or even more than ran those	
12	two, you know, reasonably pari passu. So this	
13	presents what it calls the warp and the woof.	
14	You're familiar with those terms?	
15	Q. I am indeed. I'm also familiar	
16	with the term the weft.	
17	A. The weft?	
18	Q. Yeah.	
19	A. Which is?	
20	Q. The weft is actually what I think	
21	you call the woof. But that's a time for	
22	another day.	
23	A. Okay. So	
24	Q. The warp and the woof is the	
25	fabric.	

		110
1	Q. Well, I'm gonna ask you that but,	
2	yes, I think I understand.	
3	A. So we don't have to do you want	
4	me to address that now?	
5	Q. Yeah, what is your understanding	
6	of what the Ascot strategy was?	
7	MR. STEINER: And I'm going to	
8	interrupt both of you before the court reporter	
9	does, that you're getting too talking over each	
10	other. And so maybe I can't direct Mr. Sheehan,	
11	but I will tell you, you've got to let him	
12	finish before you start responding.	
13	MR. SHEEHAN: Did you get all	
14	that?	
15	THE REPORTER: I did.	
16	MR. SHEEHAN: She did. We're	
17	good.	
18	A. Question on the table is?	
19	Q. Now you got me confused here.	
20	There it is.	
21	Q. What is your understanding of what	
22	the Ascot strategy was?	
23	A. So for those parts of the Ascot	
24	portfolio over which Mr. Madoff had discretion,	
25	which were substantially all the assets for most	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

111

of the period but not always all of them, so that's now what I mean by Ascot. Now I'm just addressing the Madoff strategy. They're not the same things always.

Originally we were long a stock, I'm gonna say IBM, long a put struck underneath the stock, and short a call struck over the So that if IBM traded at, take an stock. example we've tossed around on other occasions, say at 92, we might be long 100 shares of the stock, short the equivalent -- at 92, short the equivalent in calls, the equivalent number of calls struck at 95, and we collected some money for being short those, right? And we bought a put at 90. And when we were doing individual stocks and options, which was only at the beginning, we were never partially hedged. other words, you may know enough about options contracts to know that -- how the multipliers are, they're not the same as in stocks.

Q. Um-hum.

A. So we were long and short the correct amount of options. We weren't open, we weren't -- we didn't take an exposure anywhere.

So, what that meant is from --

what that meant is we had limited risk and limited upside. We were selling off the upside above the strike at which the call was sold. And if you want to add in the premium that's collected, right, so if we sold the call at 95 and collected a dollar, the stock could go to a thousand, we stop making money at 96. We also stop losing money beneath 90.

So, I would call that a hedged position, in a very natural way. I think that is a hedged position. When we're doing individual stocks we might have had, to pick a characteristic but not necessarily specific example, 30 of those at a time. 30 different stocks with puts and calls. Long the stock, long the put and short the calls.

So I would say it was a portfolio,
a basket of hedged positions, fully hedged
positions. Doesn't mean riskless, means hedged.

- Q. Um-hum, okay.
- A. Those evolved over time to something from which they emanated and there's a resemblance, but it's a different thing. The 35 grew to probably over 50 positions. But instead of having the individual puts, going back to my

		113
1	example IBM, we no longer had IBM puts and were	
2	no longer short IBM calls. We had 50 stocks,	
3	50-ish stocks in the S & P 100 and were long	
4	puts on the S & P 100 and short calls on the	
5	S & P 100.	
6	So that the relationship that	
7	existed is we had we were always long puts,	
8	always short calls and for the, for the long,	
9	instead of the stock we had a basket of stocks,	
10	all of whom were in the S & P 100.	
11	So I would consider that a fully	
12	hedged position. Not riskless; fully hedged.	
13	Q. This is a little out of the area	
14	but I've got to ask it.	
15	A. Sure.	
16	Q. In your entire experience with	
17	Ascot, did anybody ever exercise the call?	
18	A. Exercise the call. Well, we were	
19	short were we ever I don't remember. I	
20	just have to differentiate the answer.	
21	In the individual stock days, say	
22	the IBM example, it's just too long ago for me	
23	to remember.	
24	Q. All right.	
25	A That's not to say no but I just	

		127
1	But that did not make me a Registered Investment	
2	Advisor.	
3	Q. I understand that. Let me ask	
4	that question.	
5	Did you or any of the entities	
6	that you controlled ever become a Registered	
7	Investment Advisor?	
8	A. No. I never registered. I don't	
9	know about things I controlled because they've	
10	had subsequent histories, but I never	
11	registered.	
12	Q. I'll ask them later. Okay.	
13	The was GCC the entity that	
14	you're referring to? Do you know what I mean by	
15	that?	
16	A. Yes. If I know what you mean by	
17	that, yes.	
18	Q. Okay. Did GCC	
19	A. I meant that to be a serious	
20	answer.	
21	Q. I took it as such. And did	
22	just so everybody else is in on it, was GCC the	
23	investment advisor for Ascot, Ariel and Gabriel?	
24	A. No. GCC again, GCC was the	
25	investment advisor to some of those and not all	

of those, because it was only the investment advisor to the offshore funds. Only the offshore funds had investment advisors. That's the structure of these and many other offshore funds.

So Gabriel Capital LP was a domestic limited partnership and had a managing partner, who is your humble narrator, and Ariel Fund Limited had an investment advisor, GCC, which was a company controlled by the managing partner of Gabriel Capital LP, previously identified as your humble narrator.

- Q. Okay, that's good. Thank you.

  Does the term "prime broker" mean anything to you?
- A. The term "prime broker" is a phrase used on Wall Street that can refer to one of many different functions, perhaps only one, perhaps several, perhaps all. It's it means a lot of things to a lot of different people.

  Means a lot of different things to different people.
  - Q. What does it mean to you?
  - A. Prime broker?
  - Q. Prime broker.

		141
1	Q. No, no. Just initial and	
2	monitoring. The difference.	
3	A. If I misunderstand you, you'll	
4	tell me.	
5	Q. Take your time.	
6	A. What I take you to mean, or take	
7	the phrase to mean, initial due diligence is due	
8	diligence that precedes the actual inception of	
9	an investment.	
10	Q. Um-hum.	
11	A. And meant to say a second	
12	later, but as you continue to hold the	
13	investment, there's monitoring due diligence.	
14	But it may mean something else to somebody else	
15	and it may mean something else in a different	
16	context.	
17	Q. Again, just asking your	
18	understanding, do you have an understanding of	
19	the term "scalability"?	
20	A. Scalability?	
21	Q. Yes.	
22	A. Again, if we're not back at that	
23	peer, we're talking about things	
24	Q. No, we're not there.	
25	A. Scalability generally means, to	

		143
1	strategies that can't be scaled or have	
2	difficulty being scaled, in which case if	
3	they're left small enough, they do great.	
4	Q. Have you ever heard the expression	
5	"The God of Size comes to visit everybody"?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Have you used that phrase?	
8	A. Probably.	
9	Q. When you used it, what did you	
10	mean by it?	
11	A. It connects to scalability, which	
12	means you can't if you keep growing, growing	
13	and growing assets, you will see some impact on	
14	performance. Doesn't necessarily mean that	
15	performance will disappear, it doesn't	
16	necessarily mean that performance won't be	
17	entirely satisfactory.	
18	As a broad concept, it's just	
19	easier to push around a smaller amount of assets	
20	than a larger amount of assets. And so if you	
21	really really get big your rates of	
22	return are somewhat likely to fall.	
23	If you remember the table that we	
24	looked at that showed steadily decreasing	
25	return, that would perhaps be a concept a	

		144
1	result of assets growing. It might also be an	
2	interest rate environment changing, it might be	
3	changes in the strategy. But assets under	
4	management, the basic question so what are you	
5	running, is an issue that relates to	
6	scalability.	
7	That said, there are strategies	
8	that do better scaled up. And they are, I	
9	think, not as frequent as other ones, but there	
10	are strategies that require a critical mass of	
11	capital for sheer position in the market or else	
12	they don't work, or they work a lot less well.	
13	Q. Okay.	
14	THE WITNESS: If you're going to	
15	take a minute, I was going to go to the men's	
16	room.	
17	MR. SHEEHAN: No, no, we'll take	
18	five minutes. I told you, any time you need	
19	time.	
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record	
21	1:44.	
22	(Recess taken.)	
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on 2:01.	
24	BY MR. SHEEHAN:	
25	Q. Mr. Merkin, while we were off the	

92 when he died, and I didn't have that many further discussions that I remember with him on that subject.

Q. Okay. Let's go back to your meeting, if I may, with Mr. Madoff.

So, how was it that you came to meet with him in the first place?

A. I don't remember the circumstances of the first meeting and I don't remember when the first meeting was, exactly, and I have a vague memory that I met Bernie downtown, meaning he — it may have been before he moved his office uptown and I don't know when he moved his office uptown. I have a vague memory that I met him still when he was on Wall Street. I mean that literally, that he had an office on a street called Wall Street. I don't mean the financial district.

- Q. I understand.
- A. It's in the financial district but if there was there was one. This was the beginning of our due diligence process and the discussions that I remember more clearly are already uptown at Third Avenue in the east 50s.
  - Q. What were you doing at that time?

Were you still with Gotham when you met with Bernie?

A. This would have been after

Gotham -- I don't remember. I don't remember

specifically, as I say, the first investment

was -- of mine, was at -- through 61M

Associates. 61M was a, call it an account or

call it an investment vehicle that was managed

out of the Scheuer family office, which had

possibly also migrated uptown but for many years

was at 61 Broadway, and then at the Empire State

Building.

61M was an account that invested money with the Madoff -- with Bernie Madoff, and had a number of persons who had contributed to 61M for that purpose. And the person who ran the Scheuer family office as an entirety and a 61 account was a gentleman named Leon Meyers.

- Q. How was it that you came to make that investment in 61?
- A. Leon and I had developed a pattern of, you know, sort of talking about managers we liked or managers we thought were interesting.

  I'm not sure that he was the first person —

  certainly among the very first, I don't know how

- that dovetailed with my dad, but he was certainly -- it was certainly -- that was certainly the vehicle through which I first invested, and that was just me. In other words, or maybe it was the kids or something, but it wasn't for the fund and it wasn't for any additional limited partners.
- Q. Okay. I may not -- I don't think

  I do remember this. Did you invest in 61 before
  the meeting with Bernie or after?
- A. I invested in 61 I -- you know what, I don't remember precisely. The answer is about the same time. Ask me what took place first and what took place second, I don't remember.
  - Q. All right.
- A. I think of both the investment personally only and the meeting with Madoff, the meetings with Madoff as part of the initial due diligence that preceded any investments on the part of the funds, and was sort of just the beginning of the due diligence process.
- Q. So, again, returning to page 3, the second line in that first full paragraph says: Discuss Mr. Madoff's trading strategies

		163
1	trying to catch, as I say, market turns.	
2	Q. Okay.	
3	A. This is my understanding as best	
4	as I can remember it today let me just	
5	finish at that time. You're asking me	
6	initial meetings and what did I think. So this	
7	is my memory today of what I thought then. That	
8	understanding to some extent evolved over time,	
9	but I think I am honestly saying that that's	
10	what I remember of then rather than what I	
11	remember of things that I've even learned I	
12	mean, obviously what I've learned since this,	
13	but I'm saying this is not something that I	
14	think I remember from one proceeding or another	
15	that has taken place since 2009.	
16	Q. Okay. During this	
17	A. Which is what, I assume, what	
18	you're asking.	
19	Q. I understand. I am asking that	
20	and we will get to whatever evolution that had	
21	taken place.	
22	A. Okay.	
23	Q. When you were having this	
24	conversation, the one we're talking about right	

now, the initial one, and you're talking about

his trading strategies, you used the term
"market timing." Could you tell me what you
mean by that phrase?

- A. Well, what I meant by that phrase is -- I'm just going to say in a typical year we would be in and out of the market, I'll stick with my three to six, if we can say three to six to four -- to four to eight times. So that we would catch -- that's what I mean by catching a turn.
  - Q. Catch the turn.
- A. Among other things, it made the strategy tax inefficient. It's a good way to understand what I thought was going on, because realized long-term gains, which are heavily taxed advantaged, do not come out of this kind of strategy, or very unlikely to. You need a longer holding period. You need a shorter holding period for long term if you're gonna be doing this three or four times a year.

His average holding period was maybe, I don't know the number, so it's silly to speculate, but I don't know, eight weeks, something like that, maybe longer. But nothing close to get you to long term.

		165
1	So, what I thought of the strategy	
2	as a whole was that he had a knack for catching	
3	market turns. It was market turns done on a	
4	hedged basis with very good executions. That's	
5	what I would have seen as the strategy.	
6	Q. When you say he had a knack, do	
7	you mean by that he could intuit the market	
8	term?	
9	A. So, I'm not sure that's entirely	
10	what I mean. I've learned over time now this	
11	didn't all happen what I'm about to say	
12	didn't all happen the first meeting.	
13	Q. Of course.	
14	A. But every now and then we would	
15	have a flat period, nothing happening, dry as a	
16	bone, sitting on the sidelines doing nothing.	
17	Q. When you were doing nothing, where	
18	was the money?	
19	A. Treasuries.	
20	Q. All right.	
21	A. You may not think of that as	
22	nothing but I'm saying that's what I meant by.	
23	Q. Right now it's nothing, but go	
24	ahead. Well, it's turning in.	
25	A. You know what, the Swiss will	

charge you for that. The government still pays you something. That may change, too, but that's at the moment snapshot.

So every now and then I would say,
Bernie, we're going to miss the market, you
know, if this market's got to catch a rally,
whatever, whatever. I learned over time that
his near term market timing sense was much
better than mine and that when he thought we
might catch a turn he was almost all the time
right and when we disagreed either about getting
in or getting out he was very often right.

this specific to the first conversation at all, what I'm about to say to you, but he emphasized certainly over time and maybe even at the first conversation that the elements of — no, the indicators and the algorithms that helped create his trading program, what you quote in here trading strategies and I mean the same by that, so I'll just say trading strategies rather than to introduce a new phrase.

- Q. Understood.
- A. Were very much computer assisted in the sense that he spent a lot of money on

software that would help get a sense of market movements from the order flow in the wholesale business. That's very different to my mind than what you call skimming, and certainly to front-running and, importantly as to your last question, that his gut feeling about which way the market was going to go controlled those signals from his programs and rather than the other way around.

In other words, it was a very very sophisticated system that was subject to his, if you want to put it somewhat, perhaps too colloquially, his gut check.

- Q. As part of your -- this interrogatory answer we're looking at, as part of your due diligence, as I understand it, did you as part of your due diligence ask for any insight or transparency into the algorithm that gave him the ability to have -- to time the market?
- A. The ultimate expression of the algorithm, ultimate expression of the algorithm, is the tickets.
  - Q. Right.
  - A. In other words, the algorithm

		100
1	A. The Madoff relationship in our	
2	organization was heavily managed by Mike and me.	
3	I'm not saying to the absolute exclusion of	
4	everybody else, but it was basically Mike and	
5	me.	
6	Q. Let's just go back just to that	
7	last answer, the penultimate answer, actually.	
8	That is, when you say you went to Mr. Madoff	
9	with other investors and you talked to other	
10	investors, did that well, let me start first	
11	with the other investors. The other investors,	
12	did they know anything more than you knew?	
13	A. From time to time, sure.	
14	Q. What did they know, they told you	
15	that you didn't know from talking to Mr. Madoff?	
16	A. I think from time to time they had	
17	insights into the process that I might have	
18	learned of from them in the first instance.	
19	Q. Such as?	
20	A. Than from him.	
21	Q. Such as?	
22	A. I'm not sure. There's	
23	something if you leave that question with me	
24	I'll try to come up with something more	
25	concrete.	

Q. We'll come back to it. What I'm looking at is, by talking to others and by bringing people to talk to Madoff, was there anything different going on there than you just talking one on one with Madoff?

MR. SIEV: Objection to the form.

- A. There might have been -- if you meet somebody for the first -- I talked to Bernie somewhere between 10 and 15 times a year. Spoke or met with him between 10 and 15 times a year for many years.
  - Q. Right.
- A. I'm going to say roughly, very roughly once a month. It wasn't always every month and those 10 or 15 times were not separated by the same amount of time every week every conversation. But the importance of listening to Bernie present what he did to someone who met him for the first time is always helpful. Because you don't refer to things you talked about five years ago or seven years ago or last week, and it's not it's less conversational and it's an introduction to a fresh pair of eyes, a fresh pair of ears, a thinking head and a critical acumen, and that

		187
1	can be very, very, very additive.	
2	Q. You indicated I'm sorry, I'm	
3	moving around. I'm going to page 5 of this	
4	document, 354. Down in the last paragraph.	
5	A. Just one second.	
6	Q. Sure, take your time.	
7	A. Just trying to get there. Sorry.	
8	Q. It's a paragraph that starts,	
9	"Mr. Merkin also knew." And if you travel on	
10	down, you start you discuss the SEC. I want	
11	to be sure I don't miss something here. Sorry,	
12	I might have jumped over something.	
13	A. It's okay.	
14	Q. Boy, your memory place tricks	
15	here.	
16	A. Would you say that for the record,	
17	please.	
18	Q. I would readily admit it to the	
19	jury. Just ask Brian Williams.	
20	Let's go back to page 5 and the	
21	SEC.	
22	A. Last paragraph?	
23	Q. Yeah, last paragraph. I'm going	
24	to just read it. "In one of their many	
25	conversations, Mr. Madoff reported that the SEC	

	188
1	had visited BLMIS's offices to conduct reviews
2	eight times in 16 years, and that gave you
3	additional comfort about Mr. Madoff's bona
4	fides." Do you see that?
5	A. I do.
6	MR. STEINER: It wasn't exactly a
7	correct reading, but close enough.
8	MR. SHEEHAN: All right. I stand
9	by the record, not by what I said, all right?
10	Just suggesting a question.
11	Q. But can you tell me what you
12	discussed that gave you comfort?
13	A. Unless I'm missing, it says the
14	specific reference to the SEC reviews?
15	Q. Yes. Did he tell you what they
16	did?
17	A. Oh. I thought you were saying
18	something about the comfort.
19	Q. No.
20	A. He had either scheduled or
21	surprise visits from the SEC with some
22	regularity and some frequency, perhaps more on
23	the regular than on the surprises. He was very
24	proud of his overall compliance record and just
25	sort of a clean bill of health with occasional

Q.

		189
1	references to one or two smaller things, and it	
2	certainly meant a great deal to me that the SEC,	
3	with the power of subpoena, with the ability to	
4	spend days at the firm, which is how he	
5	presented it, came away and said, you know,	
6	thank God for Bernie. And that was very	
7	significant to me.	
8	Q. My question, though, was, maybe I	
9	wasn't clear so I'll restate it.	
10	Did he tell you what exactly the	
11	SEC did during these visits?	
12	A. Yeah. He his operation was	
13	reviewed by the SEC. It is my memory on the	
14	on what I thought of as the two sort of aspects	
15	of the business.	
16	Q. What I'm asking you for is	
17	specifically, for example, did he tell you that	
18	they asked for access to DTCC to verify the fact	
19	that he had the stock he said he had?	
20	A. I don't remember that	
21	conversation.	
22	Q. Did he ever represent to you that	
23	that happened?	
24	A. I truly don't remember.	

Okay. Page 6 if you would,

		207
1	broker. The customer doesn't execute the trade,	
2	the broker does.	
3	Q. In terms of his ability to execute	
4	a trade, how would you rate Mr. Merkin? You're	
5	Mr. Merkin.	
6	A. I am.	
7	Q. You like	
8	(Indiscernible crosstalk)	
9	A. Very low.	
10	Q. Mr. Madoff, how would you rate	
11	him?	
12	A. I think the strategy in part was	
13	implemented through very good execution. Not	
14	uniformly good execution, but very good	
15	execution.	
16	Q. What do you mean by that?	
17	A. I guess several things. One is	
18	costs. In other words, what we were paying	
19	Mr. Madoff to execute. Had he charged,	
20	hypothetically, twice what he charged, the	
21	strategy would have been that much less	
22	profitable to us. Same trades, different cost	
23	structures would have left us with a different	
24	return.	
25	O. Right.	

		208
1	A. Secondly, when we by and large	
2	when he wanted to get in, he got in. And by and	
3	large when he wanted to get out, he got out.	
4	That's called execute.	
5	Q. You say therefore, and maybe I'm	
6	wrong, so correct me, is there a relationship	
7	between market timing and execution?	
8	A. Well, I know you there's a	
9	relationship between every strategy and	
10	execution.	
11	Q. Right.	
12	A. So you're saying something	
13	particular, not unique but something unusual	
14	that's individual to market timing?	
15	Q. Meaning that you, through your	
16	algorithm and your knack, you pick a time but	
17	you must execute it within that time frame, do	
18	the two go together? In other words, if do you	
19	think the time is now but your execution lags	
20	behind and you don't get the trade done, do you	
21	miss the turn?	
22	A. So that's what I was alluding to.	
23	When he wanted to get in he seemed able to get	
24	in. There was not a lot of talk from Mr. Madoff	

over the years of I saw the window, I had the

		212
1	Q. Earlier today we were talking	
2	about monitoring due diligence. My question is	
3	did you ever do monitoring due diligence on	
4	BLMIS, on behalf of any of your funds?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. What did that monitoring due	
7	diligence consist of?	
8	A. Some of these things we have	
9	talked about before the break and perhaps even	
10	before then, but if one is approximately 10 or	
11	15 conversations a year, conversations either in	
12	person or on the phone, were meant to continue	
13	to monitor and continue to perform due	
14	diligence.	
15	I just want to make sure he's	
16	okay. Yes, he is. Are you okay? Okay, sorry.	
17	I thought you had a problem.	
18	Was a form of continuing due	
19	diligence on the Madoff organization and the	
20	organization of the Madoff function. That is,	
21	we didn't just get on the boat, wave farewell	
22	after we started investing. There were	
23	numerous, numerous conversations.	
24	Madoff's role in the affairs of	

Yeshiva University was such that I was likely to

bump into him then a couple times a year for that. And there was always or almost always an opportunity to ask him something that I wasn't sure about in terms of where the strategy — whether it had been executed properly or where the strategy might be headed to next.

Madoff's continued and growing success and prominence in the securities industry was very significant. He did end up in the position he achieved at NASDAQ, as its chairman, and he went to Washington for hearings for testimony.

I remember an occasion when he and the president of the stock exchange and a former chairman of the SEC were basically the three persons who congressional committees wanted to speak to. That all goes to various and different forms of due diligence.

Continued to talk to investors, continued to talk to investors of his not through us, who I thought were thoughtful and insightful investors, bringing investors to see him was a big part of what we were after.

Various events that took place in the securities industry mattered to me a great

		277
1	asked me the first question. And the third	
2	question, self-clearing is a question I got from	
3	time to time about Madoff.	
4	Q. Didn't you you were handling	
5	for Ascot, let's just stick with that, current	
6	version, both combined, all right? Or the	
7	version near the collapse. And you're the	
8	managing partner, right?	
9	A. Correct.	
10	Q. And GCC is doing what for Ascot at	
11	that point?	
12	A. Well, Ascot Fund is then a limited	
13	partner in Ascot Partners LP. So GCC, as the	
14	investment advisor to Ascot Fund Limited,	
15	basically invested in Ascot Partners LP on	
16	behalf of the entire fund. It was the single	
17	largest LP in our limited partnership.	
18	Q. Did you feel as though in that	
19	position, just looking at Bernie's statements,	
20	that is his confirmations, his customer	
21	statements and his reputation, that that was	
22	adequate due diligence?	
23	MR. STEINER: Objection, form.	
24	A. I'll add a fact, I'll add a	

question and I'll answer your question.

this before, yes.

- Q. Okay. Do you know where the -can't think of a better way to put this, do you
  know where the document comes from?
- A. Well, I have to take more time, but if this document stops speaking as of '96, which I'm guessing it does because the upper left-hand date, right, plus I'm doing this quickly and I shouldn't do it this quickly, the table on 220 starts at '90 and ends in August '95.
  - Q. Yup.
- A. And I don't -- and ditto 221. And ditto 222. Well, 223 is not that relevant.

  Another quick look. But certainly ditto 224. 5 and 6 is just kind of neutral, though.

  Histogram comes back.

I'm guessing I got this thing 20 years ago. I'm trying to remember — I think it describes the performance of a, what is referred to in the street occasionally as a Madoff feeder fund and I'm not sure which. But the return pattern looks a lot like one, with some differences and exceptions.

Q. Directing your attention to

		289
1	Q. Yes.	
2	A. I don't remember.	
3	Q. Did you do, at your fund at GCC,	
4	for example, did you do these types of analyses	
5	at GCC? The ones that we see here?	
6	A. The comparison to the S & P 500?	
7	Q. Yes, like for Ascot and for others	
8	did you do these types of analyses?	
9	A. Yeah, well we looked at certainly	
10	one this morning.	
11	Q. That's right, okay.	
12	A. Okay.	
13	Q. So, do you recall looking at	
14	A. Therefore, yes. Just to be clear.	
15	Q. Right. Did you I'm sorry.	
16	Do you recall looking at these in	
17	1996 and having any reaction to them?	
18	MS. ARCHER: Object to the form.	
19	A. I don't remember what my reaction	
20	was when and if I looked at it in 1996. It's in	
21	the file, I wrote on it, I'm sure I looked at it	
22	when I got it or reasonably soon thereafter, and	
23	I don't remember what my reaction was in 1996.	
24	Q. Okay. You made a statement	
25	earlier when you were identifying the document	

that it looked like a Madoff feeder fund in terms of the performance. In some ways it did and in some ways it didn't. Could you tell me in what ways you see the information here as reflective of the performance of a Madoff fund.

A. Well, clearly I must have thought something because I wrote "Madoff" on it and put it in the Madoff file. So if you asked me what I thought in 1996 I presume I wrote "Madoff" on it in 1996 and thought it aspects of the Madoff feeder fund.

Looking at it now, I don't quite -- I might still think so. I just -- it isn't -- I'd have to look at it a little more carefully, but it isn't either as correlated or as uncorrelated as certain aspects I would think of as a Madoff feeder fund. I haven't studied it. I may have then but I have to study it now.

- Q. Do you have any recollection of why you kept this document?
- A. No. I mean it might have -- no.

  I might have liked some of the comparisons, I

  might have liked the presentation, I might have
  thought that he was a Madoff feeder fund. I

  loosely was interested in the world of Madoff

paraphrased.

I think -- let's put it this way,
to the extent that I can make some sense of
this -- I don't really -- if we discussed -Madoff was, was, I don't think Madoff failed to
answer too many questions certainly that I put
to him.

Where he would say that's -- that that is a subject that he considers somewhat proprietary is what you called the algorithm. In other words, he wasn't that interested in training people -- he used to say, I'm not that interested in training people in Madoff. And by that he meant to say, in the algorithm. In other words, this is the thing that we do that we think is proprietary, it comes out of the totality of what we spend on the computer systems and the order flow and it lets us judge these turns. That was something that he would answer and consider proprietary.

So I'm just guessing -- doesn't even relate to this, so I don't know. I've spent five minutes looking at this document, I wouldn't put this at the top of the list of what he got wrong. I think the top of the list is

Picard v. Merkin

**J. Ezra Merkin 2-25-15** 

_	_	_
2	2	1
_	_	

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In Re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT Adv.Pro.No.

SECURITIES LLC,

08-01789 (BRL)

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

Adv.Pro.No.

09-1182 (BRL)

v.

J. EZRA MERKIN, GABRIEL CAPITAL, L.P., ARIEL FUND LTD., ASCOT PARTNERS, L.P., GABRIEL CAPITAL CORPORATION,

D	_	£	_	n	a	2	n	+	c	
ப	E	ᅩ	ᆮ	11	ч	a	.1.1		3	

CONTINUED VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF J. EZRA MERKIN, as reported by Nancy C. Bendish, Certified Court Reporter, RMR, CRR, and Notary Public of the State of New York, at the offices of Baker Hostetler, 45 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York, on Wednesday, February 25, 2015, commencing at 9:42 a.m.

		347
1	BLMIS account held by Ascot Partners?	
2	MS. ARCHER: Object to the form.	
3	A. My issue is with your use of the	
4	word "other." Which did, before you get to the	
5	others?	
6	Q. Let me see if any of these names	
7	mean anything to you.	
8	A. Sure.	
9	Q. Are you familiar with the Eric	
10	Bruell Trust?	
11	A. Eric?	
12	Q. Eric Bruell.	
13	A. I know something about that.	
14	Q. Was there a time in which Gabriel	
15	Capital Corporation was managing the Eric Bruell	
16	Trust? The Eric Bruell Trust BLMIS account?	
17	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
18	A. I don't I guess I really don't	
19	parse the question. Eric Bruell Trust, as I	
20	remember it, had an account directly at Madoff.	
21	Q. Okay.	
22	A. Which the Madoff office was	
23	managing, as I take your sense, your use of the	
24	word "manage." So I'm not sure what you mean by	
25	was Gabriel Capital Corp. managing an account	

		348
1	for Eric Bruell at Bernard Madoff. We did not	
2	manage anything at Bernard Madoff. We played no	
3	role in that office.	
4	Q. Did you administer well, let me	
5	go through these names.	
6	A. I think we might have introduced	
7	the account or might have suggested to the	
8	trustees of the account that that might be an	
9	attractive option for their trust. And then did	
10	our best to keep an eye on it.	
11	Q. Did you charge a fee to the Eric	
12	Bruell Trust?	
13	A. We might have at some point.	
14	Q. Manny H. Weiss, are you familiar	
15	with Mr. Weiss?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. Did Mr. Weiss have, to your	
18	knowledge did Mr. Weiss have a personal did	
19	Mr. Weiss have a BLMIS account?	
20	A. Some entity related to him I think	
21	did.	
22	Q. All right. And did there come a	
23	time in which Mr. Weiss closed that account and	
24	transferred its assets to Ascot Partners?	
25	A. I believe that some family member	

		349
1	of his became a limited partner in Ascot	
2	Partners LP.	
3	Q. Are you familiar with Richard L.	
4	Hirsch?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. Did Mr. Hirsch have a BLMIS	
7	account, to your knowledge?	
8	A. Yes, I believe he did, yes.	
9	Q. Same question, did he	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q close and then come to Ascot	
12	Partners as a limited partner?	
13	A. I'm not sure anything either he	
14	or his family entity became a limited partner in	
15	Ascot Partners LP.	
16	Q. Are you familiar with the E-b-r-o,	
17	NV, or Ebro, N.V.?	
18	A. I think I know I know I'm	
19	familiar with the name.	
20	Q. What is Ebro, N.V.?	
21	A. It's an offshore account. N.V.	
22	is a not sure I can't remember. Anyway,	
23	it is in some foreign language the equivalent of	
24	like Ltd or Inc. and it's, therefore, in all	
25	likelihood, an offshore corporation. And it had	

		353
1	Q. And those entities were Dunraven,	
2	Heaton, Langham Trading and Ebro, N.V., correct?	
3	A. Right. There are four such	
4	letters.	
5	Q. Do you know what the purpose of	
6	this letter was?	
7	A. Let me just read it.	
8	Yes. I mean, the letter kind of	
9	is pretty self-explanatory. Do you want me to	
10	summarize it?	
11	Q. Just tell me what you believe the	
12	purpose of the letter was.	
13	A. I think the purpose of the letter	
14	was to inform Mr. Ben Dror of the three	
15	paragraphs of information that it tells him	
16	about. There's no purpose beyond what the	
17	letter says.	
18	Q. Do you see in the first paragraph	
19	it says that during 1992 you maintained, you	
20	referring to Dunraven on page 6003, maintain a	
21	managed account at Bernard L. Madoff & Company	
22	that was administered by Ariel Management	
23	Corporation?	
24	A. Um-hum.	
25	Q. What is your understanding of the	

		354
1	relationship between Ariel Management Company	
2	and Dunraven's BLMIS account in 1992?	
3	A. I'm not sure exactly what my	
4	memory of it is today in 1992, but this first	
5	sentence may be an accurate way of saying it.	
6	It was managed at Madoff and administration	
7	relative to that was done by our management	
8	company.	
9	Q. And what is meant by	
10	administration?	
11	A. I'm not sure I remember what I was	
12	intending in late 1992.	
13	Q. And then you see in the next	
14	paragraph	
15	A. It may be it may have involved	
16	a number of different things, including	
17	specifically oversight of the accounts and	
18	compliance with the strategy. I think that was	
19	one of the important issues back then.	
20	Q. And you see in the next paragraph	
21	you charged a one percent fee to Dunraven?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. And by you, I mean GCC.	
24	A. Correct.	
25	Q. If you look in the third	

		355
1	paragraph, you see where it says, sort of in the	
2	middle, your managed account with Madoff &	
3	Company will be liquidated and the proceeds will	
4	be used to purchase participating shares of	
5	Ascot Fund Limited?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. To your recollection is that what	
8	occurred with these four entities?	
9	A. If these entities are all offshore	
10	entities?	
11	Q. Yes.	
12	A. If they're all offshore	
13	entities	
14	Q. Yes.	
15	A then instead of having four	
16	different accounts managed at Madoff in their	
17	account, individual account names, they would	
18	have been participants in a pooled vehicle that	
19	was Ascot Fund Limited.	
20	Q. Do you see in the next sentence it	
21	says Ascot's sole asset will be a managed	
	account at Madoff & Company.	
22	account at madori & company.	
22	A. Um-hum.	

		359
1	should actually be January 1st, 1993, given the	
2	date of this letter?	
3	A. I believe that's correct.	
4	Q. Can you turn to Trustee's 366,	
5	which is the next document. And if you could	
6	turn to what's the Bates number which is 722.	
7	A. Is that the bottom right-hand	
8	corner?	
9	Q. In the bottom right-hand corner.	
10	A. Okay. Just a moment. Okay.	
11	Q. Do you can you identify can	
12	you identify Trustee's 366 for me. Have you	
13	seen this before?	
14	A. Are you talking about 722 now?	
15	You asked me to turn to a specific	
16	Q. Specifically on page 722. Have	
17	you seen this letter before?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. Can you tell me what this letter	
20	is?	
21	A. This is a letter signed by me	
22	dated in late December, and addressed to an	
23	entity of which I am a managing and general	
24	partner called Gabriel Capital LP. So it's	
25	actually written on Gabriel Capital Group's	

		360
1	letterhead and is sent to Gabriel Capital LP by	
2	Ariel Management Corporation, and then signed by	
3	its president.	
4	Q. And it's informing Gabriel Capital	
5	LP of the same information that we saw in	
6	Trustee's 365, correct?	
7	A. I would say a parallel movement to	
8	a domestic pooled vehicle. Even has the same	
9	typo.	
10	Q. And if you can turn back to page	
11	571.	
12	A. 571?	
13	Q. I'm sorry. 721.	
14	A. Oh, the previous page.	
15	Q. The previous page. I'm trying to	
16	read it upside down, which is not helpful.	
17	A. Doesn't work for me either.	
18	Q. Do you recognize this document?	
19	A. No. But I might if I look at it.	
20	I don't recognize it, but it looks	
21	like something that might have been produced by	
22	our back office.	
23	Q. Does this document reflect the	
24	managed accounts that Gabriel Capital	
25	Corporation was managing or administrating,	

		361
1	sorry, providing administration services for in	
2	1992?	
3	MS. ARCHER: Object to the form.	
4	A. Just one moment, let me have a	
5	look.	
6	I don't know today anymore. It	
7	very possibly very likely is, but I can't	
8	testify that that's the case.	
9	Q. Did you have any discussions with	
10	Mr. Madoff regarding the liquidation of those	
11	of the accounts that we talked about in	
12	Trustee's 365 and 366?	
13	A. I'm sorry?	
14	Q. Did you have any conversations	
15	with Mr. Madoff regarding the liquidation of the	
16	accounts listed in 365?	
17	A. The previous document?	
18	Q. Yes.	
19	A. Yes. You've asked me that before.	
20	Q. What were those conversations?	
21	A. I don't remember the specifics of	
22	the conversation, but we didn't I mean, you	
23	can't open Ascot Fund and Ascot Partners without	
24	asking for account documentation, and in the	
25	first instance he's the person I would have	

		370
1	Jesselson's name I just mentioned. Not sure	
2	else not sure what you're asking me beyond	
3	that.	
4	Q. We'll try to tackle this again at	
5	the end of the series of questions.	
6	Let's go back to page 2.	
7	A. Okay.	
8	Q. And we've talked a little bit	
9	about Mr. Meyers already. Do you know how long	
10	Mr. Meyers had known Mr. Madoff?	
11	A. No.	
12	Q. Do you recall whether he strike	
13	that question.	
14	Do you know when 61 I'm sorry,	
15	is it 61M or is it 61 Associates?	
16	A. Well, it depends what you're	
17	asking. It's both. What are you looking for?	
18	Q. What was the entity in which you	
19	invested initially with BLMIS?	
20	A. I believe 61M. I think it's not M	
21	by coincidence.	
22	Q. And do you know when 61M made its	
23	initial investments with BLMIS?	
24	A. Somewhere in the back of my head	
25	is a memory that there is a I had a I have	

		371
1	in a file, and if that's right, surely produced	
2	to you, 61M's record with Madoff. And if I have	
3	the entire record there, then it would go	
4	back I mean, these are a series of ifs. If	
5	it's there, if it's been produced and if it is	
6	exhaustive in the sense that it returns to the	
7	first date of their first investment with	
8	Madoff, then the answer would be there.	
9	If you're gonna ask me if I know	
10	that, that year, sitting here I do not. But I	
11	can tell you where to find it because we whizzed	
12	past it yesterday.	
13	Q. Was it in your Madoff file?	
14	A. I believe so.	
15	Q. And can you describe what the	
16	document looks like?	
17	A. It's a, it's a column of figures,	
18	one set of figures being years, meaning annual	
19	dates, and the other one being performance.	
20	Q. And does it describe BLMIS as	
21	BEMIS, B-E-M-I-S?	
22	A. Now you got me.	
23	Q. Let me see if I can find that	
24	document.	
	1	

Do you know whether 61M

		373
1	market crash, because I remember his quoting	
2	something to me the day after the market	
3	crashed I'm sorry, about the day after the	
4	market crashed, which was that the the day	
5	the market crashed was a very, very, very tough	
6	day in the office. The day after was a	
7	disaster, and I think that is true.	
8	So we're talking about, you know,	
9	the later '80s, '86, '87, something like that,	
10	but I can't place it with any greater precision.	
11	Q. Do you recall when you first	
12	A. He was then running the Scheuer	
13	family office. Just to be clear, that is 61	
14	Associates. 61 Associates is the name of the	
15	Scheuer family office.	
16	Q. Thank you.	
17	A. 61M is just a sub-account or a	
18	function within the office.	
19	Q. Do you recall when you first spoke	
20	about BLMIS with Mr. Mayer?	
21	MR. STEINER: Meyers.	
22	Q. Meyers, sorry.	
23	A. The day, the time, the weather?	
24	Q. Relative to when your initial	
25	when you first met Mr. Meyers	

		374
1	A. Oh, how much time passed?	
2	Q. How much time had passed before	
3	you started speaking about BLMIS.	
4	A. I don't remember.	
5	Q. How often would you speak about	
6	BLMIS?	
7	A. With Mr. Meyers?	
8	Q. Yes.	
9	A. Over the years?	
10	Q. In the initial time frame. In	
11	this late '80s time period.	
12	A. I don't remember.	
13	Q. Did Mr. Meyers tell you that he	
14	conducted due diligence on BLMIS?	
15	A. I don't remember the nature of	
16	those conversations.	
17	Q. Do you have any documentation from	
18	those discussions?	
19	A. Again, I suppose the answer to the	
20	question is yes if the note in the file, if	
21	that's what it is, that we referred to, say,	
22	five or ten minutes ago, so if it's in fact his,	
23	and regardless of whether it's B-E-M-I-S at the	
24	top of it or not, if it's there, then that would	
25	have been something that he would have sent me	

around that time.

One way of determining that is seeing what the last year on that is because he would have sent me something that was reasonably up to date. So, therefore, I'm not testifying, but speculating, those are two different functions, reasonably contemporaneous with the period you're talking about.

- Q. After your initial conversations with Mr. Meyers, did you have any discussions with him regarding the performance of your respective BLMIS accounts?
- A. When you say respective, at that time they weren't respective.
- Q. After your initial discussions, after, in 1990, did you ever have conversations with Mr. Meyers regarding the performance of your respective BLMIS accounts?
  - A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. What do you recall from those discussions?
- A. Well, Leon Meyers and I have had conversations about the investment world going back, let's just say, to '85, '86, '87 and have had one as recently as last week. So I have

spent all those years talking to Leon, I suppose possibly twice a day, sometimes twice a week, sometimes twice a month, sometimes twice a quarter. I think my guess would be in all instances more frequently than twice a year. So I'm not going to go to twice a year. And Madoff was a theme of our conversations, surely not every one, but was a constant theme of our conversations. Performance would certainly have been part of it. Most of them focused on visits, telephone calls, understandings, changes in the strategy, possible changes in the strategy, and was he in or was he out, if that was something that we were then talking about.

- Q. Did you ever have any conversations with Mr. Meyers where you tried to determine how Mr. Madoff chose to enter and exit the market?
  - A. Probably.
- Q. Did you ever come to any conclusions with Mr. Meyers?
  - A. About what he -- how he --
- Q. How Mr. Madoff chose to enter and exit the markets.
  - A. You're asking me specifically

		382
1	A. Nothing terribly reliable, the	
2	estimate.	
3	Q. Did Ms. Manzke tell you that she	
4	had conducted due diligence on BLMIS?	
5	A. I don't remember the specifics of	
6	the conversation, but I remember forming an	
7	impression that she had been to the office, that	
8	she knew Bernie, that she'd had conversations	
9	about the strategies.	
10	Q. And did Ms. Manzke send you any	
11	documentation in the course of your	
12	conversations or in the course of your due	
13	diligence on BLMIS?	
14	A. She may have. I don't remember.	
15	Q. Did you take any notes of your	
16	conversations with Ms. Manzke?	
17	A. I don't remember.	
18	Q. If you had taken notes regarding	
19	your conversations about BLMIS with Ms. Manzke,	
20	would you have put them in your Madoff file?	
21	A. If I had them, very likely, yes.	
22	Q. The next name on the list on page	
23	2 is David Gottesman.	
24	A. Gottesman, yes.	
25	Q. Gottesman. And prior to 1990 did	

	Picard v. Merkin J. Ezra Merkin	2-25-13
		385
1	investor or the funds became investors of	
2	Mr. Madoff's.	
3	Q. And what did you discuss with	
4	Mr. Gottesman?	
5	A. Mr. Madoff, his personal	
6	reputation, the strategy and so forth.	
7	Q. Were you aware at that time, prior	
8	to 1990, whether Mr. Gottesman had a personal	
9	investment with BLMIS or whether it was in a	
LO	pooled vehicle or investor money?	
.1	A. I don't remember.	
<b>.</b> 2	Q. And did Mr. Gottesman tell you	
L3	that he conducted due diligence on BLMIS?	
<b>.4</b>	A. I don't remember his saying that	
L <b>5</b>	in those words. I know Mr. Gottesman and he	
16	doesn't he's a very careful and methodical	
L <b>7</b>	investor. In his case, one of the impressions I	
8.	do remember, he had a very high opinion of	
.9	Bernie Madoff, the person. Thought he was an	
20	innovative person, that some of the things he	
21	had done in terms of his challenge of on-board	
22	versus off-board trading, his willingness to	
23	take on the (indiscernible) of the New York	
24	Stock Exchange were all things that	
25	Mr. Gottesman knew about, was aware of.	

It was not quite at this time, but Mr. Gottesman's decision to have Mr. Madoff join the university board and become the chairman of their business school board, become at some point the treasurer of the university, a member of the executive committee, were later events, some of them not that much later because that takes place over a series of years, that I think reflected those views.

- Q. Did Mr. Gottesman ever share with you how much he invested in BLMIS?
  - A. I don't remember.
- Q. Would the amount of money that Mr. Gottesman placed with BLMIS be of any significance to you?

MS. ARCHER: Object to the form.

A. I don't remember. At the time

Mr. Gottesman had -- was a very, very, very
significant investor in Berkshire Hathaway.

It's possible that even then I was aware, or I
believe is the case which is he was the largest
single individual shareholder in Berkshire other
than Mr. Buffett. They're institutional
investors, but I think at some point I realized
he had a larger -- perhaps was a larger investor

		387
1	than anybody any other individual.	
2	So nothing he was never going	
3	to invest with some other person. Just for	
4	sheer size, of what he might have had with	
5	Berkshire and therefore what he had someplace	
6	else was not something that I necessarily asked	
7	about or remember hearing about or paid that	
8	much attention to. Unless it was trivial, but I	
9	don't have any memory that it was trivial.	
10	Q. What would be a trivial amount in	
11	your mind?	
12	A. Then?	
13	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
14	Q. Yes.	
15	A. I don't know.	
16	Q. The next name on the list is	
17	Mr. Gedale Horowitz.	
18	A. Um-hum.	
19	Q. Again, prior to 1990, did you have	
20	a relationship with Mr. Horowitz?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. How would you describe that	
23	relationship?	
24	A. I knew him. I knew him probably a	
25	little bit less well than I knew Mr. Gottesman	

but not that much less well. He was a -- had been a figure at the Yeshiva University board. I'm not sure he was still on the board but he was the chairman of their investment committee at that time and I had, by then I'm pretty sure, joined the investment committee. I'm not sure I necessarily was then chairing it. Pardon me. Can't quite place the time sequence today.

He ran Solomon Brothers' municipal department, municipals department which, when he ran it, until — the department was closed, was sort of a huge firm within a firm. He was probably one of the leading spokesmen for the muni industry. The nature of the muni industry is such that government relations are very critical because municipals are debt securities issued by government entities, perhaps without exception. They don't qualify for their tax advantages.

What I remember from a conversation with him, very specifically at that time, was the extent to which the regulatory world and the congressional world held

Mr. Madoff in such high esteem. That would have been something that would have been important to

me and he would have been a very critical figure in knowing, because he was constantly traveling among states, and specifically to Congress in Washington, on governmental relations, because they're so important to the muni industry, and because Solomon was such a dominant name in municipals. And he kept saying to me — he was the person who said to me at that time, Bernie Madoff, a legend on Wall Street. He said, but you — but possibly even more so, even more than a legend in Congress. Given Bernie's testifying down there and given the extent to which they had looked to him for certain issues in the securities industry.

- Q. Did you know whether or not

  Mr. Horowitz had a -- any kind of a personal
  relationship with Mr. Madoff at that point in
  time?
- A. I don't really remember today
  whether that was the case or not. I have very
  specific memories of their knowing each other
  subsequent to that time. In other words, I can
  tell you about things subsequent to that, but
  they did very clearly know each other, but I
  don't remember today whether I knew that then or

		390
1	whether I didn't know that then.	
2	Q. And the reason I'm asking is the	
3	conversation that you had with Mr. Horowitz	
4	regarding Mr. Madoff's reputation, do you know	
5	whether that was based on Mr. Horowitz's	
6	personal knowledge or whether he also learned	
7	that through or he was relaying to you	
8	Mr. Madoff's reputation from other people?	
9	A. The short answer is I don't know.	
10	Q. Do you know whether or not	
11	Mr. Horowitz had a personal account with BLMIS?	
12	A. I don't know whether he had a	
13	personal account at that time.	
14	Q. Do you know if he ever had a	
15	personal account?	
16	A. Oh, I thought you asked me that.	
17	I don't know for sure.	
18	Q. Do you know whether or not	
19	Mr. Horowitz was ever personally invested	
20	through any vehicle with BLMIS?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. What vehicle was that?	
23	A. Ascot Partners LP.	
24	Q. And when did	
25	A. I'm not sure it was him	

		391
1	personally. I think it was family trusts, but I	
2	would take that to be something that you would	
3	want me to answer yes to in your question.	
4	Q. Yes. Do you know when	
5	Mr. Horowitz began his investments with Ascot	
6	Partners?	
7	A. Early on in Ascot history and I	
8	can't place the exact date or time or year.	
9	Q. Did Mr. Horowitz ever tell you	
10	that he conducted due diligence on BLMIS?	
11	A. I don't remember.	
12	Q. And if you hadn't if you do	
13	you have any documentation regarding your	
14	discussions and conversations with Mr. Horowitz	
15	regarding BLMIS?	
16	A. None that comes to mind, but I	
17	don't remember.	
18	Q. If you had such documentation, you	
19	probably would have put it in your BLMIS file?	
20	A. Probably.	
21	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
22	A. But depends.	
23	Q. Do you recall a meeting between	
24	yourself, Mr. Madoff and Mr. Horowitz in	
25	February of 2003?	

	Α.	And	their	views	were	important	to
the	committee	2					

- Q. Do you recall anything specific regarding the conversation you had between Mr. Madoff and Mr. Horowitz at this meeting?
- A. There were some old war stories.

  That's what makes me think they had a personal relationship because it started with, you know, you son of a gun, do you remember what you did to me in whatever year it was, and you son of a gun, do you remember what you did to me in whatever year it was, and so it didn't sound like these were exactly two people meeting for the first time.

So they were discussing figures on Wall Street -- figures meaning persons, not numbers. They were both friendly with industry leaders.

Q. Did you --

A. I'm -- I just want to make sure that you -- that I'm clear. I'm not sure that this is -- I remember they were both discussing their being interested in a person who either at that time was, had been or was to become the president of the New York Stock Exchange. There

	394
1	was a bit of discussion about SEC chairman but
2	I'm not sure I that that is in front of me.
3	That is what I remember their talking about.
4	Q. Did you arrange the meeting
5	between Mr. Horowitz and Mr. Madoff?
6	A. I think so.
7	Q. Do you know why you needed to
8	arrange the meeting if Mr. Horowitz and
9	Mr. Madoff had a personal relationship?
10	A. I think Mr. Horowitz, as either a
11	fiduciary for or as an investor in Ascot
12	Partners LP, thought that that was the way to
13	have the introduction, or have the request made.
14	Q. And did you take any notes from
15	this meeting?
16	A. Again, I'm not sure I was at the
17	meeting or this is my memory of what they told
18	me about the meeting. They meaning mostly
19	Gedale.
20	Q. Other than this one meeting that
21	we were discussing, do you recall any other
22	occasions in which you arranged a meeting
23	between Mr. Horowitz and Mr. Madoff?
24	A. I don't remember if there was a
25	request for another meeting, that didn't happen

		396	
1	list is Daniel Hoffert. Am I saying that right?		
2	A. Hoffert, I think.		
3	Q. Prior to again prior to 1990		
4	did you have a relationship with Mr. Hoffert?		
5	A. Yes.		
6	Q. And how would you describe that		
7	relationship?		
8	A. I knew Mr. Hoffert, he was		
9	probably older than I am, and he was someone I		
10	knew from our neighborhood and community in New		
11	York City when I was growing up. He no longer		
12	lived in New York City, probably even then, he		
13	lived in Florida.		
14	Q. Mr. Merkin, can I ask you not to		
15	put your hand to your mouth.		
16	A. Okay.		
17	Q. Did there come a time in which		
18	Mr. Hoffert became an investor with Gabriel?		
19	A. Yes. Or some family entity did.		
20	Q. And do you recall when you first		
21	met Mr. Hoffert to discuss BLMIS?		
22	A. I don't remember that, when we met		
23	to discuss it. I think he was already in		
24	Florida, called me and asked me one or two		
25	questions about Madoff. He said he had had I		

think I remember the conversation going	
something like as follows, because I told him	
that Gabriel Capital LP this is either around	
the time that he became an investor, or maybe it	
was earlier. We had discussed the merger	
arbitrage business and he said to me, you must	
know somebody else who's in the merger arbitrage	
business. That's not such an infrequent	
question. And I said, who'd you have in mind	
and he said, Bernie Madoff. And I said, I would	
not think of Bernie Madoff as someone who is in	
the merger arbitrage business. And he said, no,	
no, no, not merger arbitrage business.	
Arbitrage business. He's an arbitrager. "He"	
meaning Madoff, not Mr. Hoffert. And I thought	
in some sense that made some sense. And he sent	
me some form of either a confirmation or perhaps	
it was a monthly statement to give me some sense	
of why he called him an arbitrager and what he	
was doing with him.	
Q. I'm going you to go back to your	
Madoff file.	
A. To the rubber bands?	
Q. Yes. This will be relatively easy	
to find.	

		398
1	A.	I see my discussion of bands has
2	inspired you	to take it literally.
3	Q.	All the way to the back. It's
4	Bates number	3600.
5	Α.	3600?
6	Q.	Yes.
7	Α.	Oh, it's all the way in the back.
8	Q.	Yes.
9	Α.	Oh, is the back.
10	Q.	Couple more pages.
11	Α.	Okay.
12	Q.	It's the last document.
13	Α.	Okay. Do I have a page number on
14	this? 3600?	
15	Q.	3600.
16		MR. STEINER: It's cut off on the
17	сору.	
18		THE WITNESS: It's there
19	somewhere, bo	ottom right.
20		MR. STEINER: Oh, okay. Sorry.
21	Α.	Yes, Mr. Song.
22	Q.	Do you recognize this document?
23	A.	I believe so. Yes.
24	Q.	What is it to you?
25	Α.	Well, now I have to it looks

		399	
1	like a monthly, actually, statement from the		
2	Madoff office, Bernard L. Madoff Investment		
3	Securities, to Mr. Hoffert, who is a trustee for		
4	some trust that is for the benefit of Daniel		
5	Hoffert. That is Mr. Hoffert's first name.		
6	So, Daniel Hoffert is a trustee		
7	for something that has to do with Daniel		
8	Hoffert. Mailed to him I presume, it was		
9	certainly addressed to him on South Ocean		
10	Boulevard in Palm Beach, which is where		
11	Mr. Hoffert lived. I think I mentioned he had		
12	moved to Florida, although I knew him from New		
13	York.		
14	Q. You see the period ending date is		
15	January 31, 1993?		
16	A. Um-hum.		
17	Q. Is this the statement that		
18	Mr. Hoffert sent to you that you were discussing		
19	earlier?		
20	A. I don't quite remember, I don't		
21	remember whether the time period I was referring		
22	to is then, or earlier. But he didn't send me		
23	the statement necessarily the day after we had		
24	the discussion.		
25	Q. Okay.		

Α.

		400
1	A. The statement came a little bit	
2	later. It's two different accounts. I'm just	
3	looking to see where the options are. There	
4	they are, okay. So they're here. There's a	
5	all right.	
6	Q. So, is it your recollection, sir,	
7	that you had a conversation with Mr. Hoffert	
8	regarding BLMIS prior to 1990 and then	
9	subsequent conversation where he sent you this	
10	statement?	
11	A. I'm not sure that's my	
12	recollection, but I think that is likely what	
13	happened, just given the dates of all this.	
14	That is, it is my recollection that I had a	
15	discussion with Mr. Hoffert at the period of	
16	time contemplated by this document.	
17	Q. The interrogatory response.	
18	A. I'm less clear on when this	
19	document arrived. But if you consider this	
20	outside of that time, which it probably is, then	
21	it came later.	
22	Q. By necessity it had to come later.	
23	A. Right.	
24	Q. And did Mr. Hoffert tell you	

May I just clarify. There may

		401
1	have been an earlier document. That's what I'm	
2	trying to get at, that I didn't keep or that I	
3	don't have that wasn't in the file, wasn't	
4	there. So I'm not sure that this is the	
5	document that I was referring to when I said we	
6	had the earlier conversations and the earlier	
7	document.	
8	Q. Okay. Did Mr. Hoffert tell you	
9	that he conducted due diligence on BLMIS?	
10	A. I don't remember the conversation.	
11	Q. And do you know when Mr. Hoffert	
12	passed?	
13	A. I am guessing around the answer	
14	is no, I don't. I'm guessing around 2010.	
15	Q. Do you know if Mr. Hoffert was	
16	asked to testify in any matters regarding your	
17	investments in BLMIS?	
18	A. I have no idea.	
19	Q. If you turn to page 3 of the	
20	interrogatory response	
21	A. Okay. Let me put this may I	
22	seek your advice. Am I putting the rubber bands	
23	back on or not?	
24	Q. I would not put the rubber bands	
25	back on.	

		402
1	A. I asked the right person the right	
2	question.	
3	Q. If you turn to page 3, the first	
4	full sentence at the top says you had	
5	conversations with customers of BLMIS's market	
6	making operations.	
7	A. Um-hum.	
8	Q. Do you recall who those customers	
9	were?	
10	A. Well, Fidelity for sure. And I	
11	don't remember at the moment who else it might	
12	have been. There might have been somebody at	
13	Charles Schwab. I just don't remember.	
14	Q. Do you recall the particular	
15	person you spoke to at Fidelity?	
16	A. No.	
17	Q. And did Fidelity send you any	
18	documentation regarding BLMIS's market making	
19	operations?	
20	A. I don't think so.	
21	Q. Do you know Henry Kaufman?	
22	A. I do.	
23	Q. How do you know Mr. Kaufman?	
24	A. How do I know Mr. Kaufman? I know	
25	Mr. Kaufman because he and his wife, for a	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

407

had met once or twice.

- Q. And did you ever speak to Mr. de Picciotto regarding investments with BLMIS?
  - A. Directly with him?
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Do you know whether Mr. de -- did you know prior to 2008 whether Mr. de Picciotto had any personal investments, meaning him or his family, in BLMIS?
- So, he owned, and for all I know Α. still owns, he and his family own something called UBP, and I certainly knew that UBP had hundreds of millions of dollars with Mr. Madoff, if not more than hundreds of millions of dollars. In part because they had hundreds of millions of dollars there, I suppose, with us. I don't know that he had a -- that part of that was his money or not his money, but I know what Mr. Madoff told me Mr. de Picciotto had with him personally. At the time believed it, I'm not sure that that was necessarily the case. not sure today I would say that that was necessarily the case. But at the time I know what that figure was. And I know that part of

the UBP staff and senior people with whom I did spend endless amounts of time due diligencing Mr. Madoff occasionally made references to Mr. de Picciotto being an investor, but didn't say through them, independently, and it's also broadly speaking supporting their investment.

Mr. de Picciotto by reputation I think is one of the most gifted investors of his generation. So there was a person that used to go interview him periodically and literally print all of his predictions, kind of, I think used to refer to him as the smartest man he knew or the smartest man in the west or something like that.

And over a series of years

reading -- he's a very, very (indiscernible)

investor. And I just remember once thinking,

okay, this one he's really really going to get

wrong, and then a year or two later, he was

absolutely right.

- Q. Did you ever attempt to speak to Mr. de Picciotto regarding his investments with BLMIS?
- A. I think I might have. It was a very brief snatch and didn't really leave a

			421
1	is there isn	't one.	
2	Q.	Okay.	
3	A.	Did we produce such a document?	
4	Q.	Not that I'm aware of.	
5	Α.	Okay. And would it have been	
6	called for?		
7	Q.	Yes, it would have.	
8	Α.	Okay, so then I was right. So the	
9	answer to my	question is your question is yes	
10	and the answe	er to my question is no.	
11	Q.	Okay. I think I've lost who's	
12	asking quest:	ions.	
13		MR. STEINER: You're not the only	
14	one.		
15	Q.	The record will so reflect.	
16	A.	Right.	
17	Q.	Mr. Merkin, do you know Zev	
18	Wolfson?		
19	А.	I did.	
20	Q.	And who is Mr. Wolfson?	
21	А.	Mr. Wolfson is an immigrant to	
22	this country	, sometime I think in the late '40's	
23	or early '50s	s, and made a series of fortunes in	
24	different bus	sinesses, real estate well, the	
25	real estate l	ousiness, the construction business,	

		422
1	as a backer, very, very early backer of certain	
2	funds, as a subsequent early backer heavily into	
3	strategies that would broadly be described as	
4	private equity strategies.	
5	Q. Did you ever have any discussions	
6	with Mr. Wolfson regarding your investments with	
7	BLMIS?	
8	A. With?	
9	Q. With Mr. Wolfson.	
10	A. My investments with just the	
11	last.	
12	Q. With BLMIS.	
13	A. Oh. I thought you said Leon	
14	Meyers. I'm sorry, I just didn't hear you. I	
15	was going to say, I don't think so.	
16	Yes.	
17	Q. When did you have those	
18	conversations?	
19	A. Over a series of years. And they	
20	would have been perhaps with him and also with	
21	one or another of his sons. It was a family	
22	office.	
23	Q. Do you know whether or not	
24	Mr. Wolfson or his family office had investments	
25	with BLMIS?	

		423
1	A. I believe they did.	
2	Q. Was that the subject of your	
3	conversations?	
4	A. I'm sure it came up.	
5	Q. And do you know whether	
6	Mr. Wolfson or his family office conducted due	
7	diligence on BLMIS?	
8	A. I believe they did.	
9	Q. What is the basis for your belief?	
10	A. The fact they were there, that	
11	they went to had been to the office, they'd	
12	had conversations, that it was something they	
13	had looked into.	
14	Q. Did Mr. Wolfson tell you that he	
15	had visited BLMIS's offices?	
16	A. I don't remember specifically	
17	whether it was him or a son and I just don't	
18	remember.	
19	Q. Did Mr. Wolfson or his son send	
20	you any documentation regarding their	
21	investments with BLMIS?	
22	A. Not that I recall.	
23	Q. If you can turn to page 5.	
24	A. Still in the same document?	
25	Q. Same document.	

		427
1	top of that paragraph, the first full paragraph	
2	on the page where it says, as an	
3	A. Same paragraph, right?	
4	Q. Same paragraph. As an initial	
5	part of his due diligence in monitoring, it says	
6	that you met with Mr. Madoff 10, 15 times a year	
7	by phone or in person. See that?	
8	A. Approximately, yes.	
9	Q. Was it your practice then to speak	
10	to Mr. Madoff about once a month?	
11	A. I think I said yesterday that it	
12	may well have averaged once a month but I didn't	
13	necessarily speak to him once a month and	
14	conversations could be bunched.	
15	Q. And does that 10 is that 10 to	
16	15 times a year purely business conversations	
17	that you had with Mr. Madoff? In other words,	
18	discussions about the investments, about funds?	
19	A. You mean with no other subjects	
20	ever coming up?	
21	Q. Being the primary purpose of the	
22	conversation.	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. And so would you have other	

conversations with Mr. Madoff purely on a

A. I had conversations with Mr. Madoff about issues that were not -- or subjects that were not business. One was Yeshiva University. He knew less about Yeshiva perhaps than other trustees when they first joined and would ask me a lot, ask me questions about Yeshiva.

As a member of the executive committee, he was entitled to a vote on who the new president would be. There were only I think nine such people who had a real vote. And there were one or two occasions in which he interviewed prospective candidates for that job and asked me to join the interview. That's the one or two meetings I can think of that were basically not business.

- Q. And what year did you start speaking to Mr. Madoff 10 to 15 times per year?
- A. Don't remember. Fairly early on but I don't remember.
- Q. Any recollection, starting from 1990?
  - A. I can't tell you that in 1990 I spoke to him 13 times, and if I spoke to him

		129
1	nine times it would have been less than 10 to	
2	15. But I spoke to him regularly starting	
3	fairly early on.	
4	Q. And you visited Mr. Madoff in	
5	person on occasion, correct?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. And were those occasions in his	
8	office?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. How often in a year would you go	
11	to visit Mr. Madoff's offices?	
12	A. Don't remember exactly. Several.	
13	Q. So out of the 10 to 15 times	
14	A. A minority.	
15	Q. A minority of that 10 to 15 times?	
16	A. I would think so, although it	
17	doesn't mean I didn't see him at later on I	
18	would see him, we both attended certain	
19	university meetings, so I would see him there.	
20	Don't think we met socially very often at all.	
21	So an extraordinarily high percentage of my	
22	meetings with Bernie were in his office.	
23	Q. And where were you where would	
24	you physically meet with Mr. Madoff in his	
25	office? When you say his office, that's kind of	

		431
1	how many people I was bringing in to visit, if	
2	the number of people exceeded what his own	
3	office could hold, let's say comfortably, we	
4	would go a couple of doors down and sit in a	
5	conference room.	
6	So, the answer to your question I	
7	guess is all of the above.	
8	Q. Yes. Other than Mr. Madoff, did	
9	you meet with anybody else during these	
10	sessions?	
11	A. From his office?	
12	Q. From his office.	
13	A. No.	
14	Q. Mr. DiPascali do you know Frank	
15	DiPascali?	
16	A. No. To answer your question, I	
17	believe I met him once. He was passing by and	
18	poked his head in the door and Madoff said Frank	
19	and I said, that must be Mr. DiPascali.	
20	Q. Did you know Peter Madoff?	
21	A. I met Peter Madoff maybe once or	
22	twice. I remember in a conference room we were	
23	sitting with a number of people, he poked his	
24	head in the door and mostly wanted to ask Bernie	
25	something and all these people here or	

something.

Peter Madoff's son died, his son
Roger died in the spring of, I don't remember,
and I went with somebody who very much wanted to
pay a condolence call, so I joined them and saw
Peter then, say within a few days after his son
had died. And then I think Peter came — so I
came to these — get the right name — Gift of
Life Foundation meetings from time to time, and
Bernie was the chairman and I think Mrs. Madoff
was the vice-chairman of that foundation.

So I would see there, you know, some fairly sophisticated investors who were investors of Bernie who were either supporters of the foundation or on the board. Richard Joel who was the president of Yeshiva University would be there, I think Michael Jesselson was there, a son of Ludwig's, and a brother of Benjamin's. I think Fred Wilpon was there, I was there, and I think Bernie was there — I'm sorry, Peter was there.

- Q. And you're referring to a specific meeting of the Gift of Life?
  - A. (Witness nods.)
  - Q. Was that meeting in December of

	J. EZFa Wierkiii 2-25	·-13
	4:	33
1	2008?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Who did you understand who did	
4	you understand operated the computer algorithm	
5	that Mr. Madoff purportedly used?	
6	A. I'm not sure I in the sense	
7	that you mean, I'm not sure I understood that	
8	anybody in particular did. If there was such a	
9	person, I'm not sure I have a name to report to	
10	you.	
11	Q. Did Mr. Madoff ever show you how	
12	the computer algorithm worked?	
13	A. Not that I recall.	
14	Q. And did Mr. Madoff ever express to	
15	you that he had employees of BLMIS who helped	
16	either create the algorithm or monitor the	
17	algorithm?	
18	A. Mr. Madoff talked about how many	
19	employees he had, how many people worked at the	
20	organization, including the wholesale end of the	
21	business and over time that number went up. So	
22	I remember stops along the way, you know,	
23	perhaps 85 to 100 and then 200 and then 300.	
24	Talked about the computer system,	
25	didn't really tell me specifically who was	

	J. Ella Weikin	
		434
1	working on the computers and how many did.	
2	Talked more about what he spent the year on	
3	improving his systems.	
4	Q. Did you ever ask to speak to any	
5	of the people who operated the algorithm?	
6	A. Not that I recall.	
7	Q. Did in your conversations with	
8	Mr. Madoff, either in person or on the phone,	
9	did you have any discussions regarding when he	
10	planned to come in and out of the market?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. And did Mr. Madoff, in these	
13	conversations, did Mr. Madoff ever offer a	
14	projection to you about what the market would do	
15	in that month?	
16	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
17	A. I'm sorry, offer me a projection	
18	of?	
19	Q. Of how the market would perform	
20	that month.	
21	A. At the beginning of the month he	
22	would say the S & P is going to be up one-and-a-	
23	quarter percent this coming month, that's a	
24	prediction and you can bank on it or something	
25	like that?	

		444
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on 1:28.	
2	BY MR. SONG:	
3	Q. Mr. Merkin, do you recall in some	
4	of your earlier testimony when we were	
5	discussing Mr. Madoff's strategy you discussed	
6	that Mr. Madoff was trying to catch, catch	
7	market turns intra-quarter? Do you recall	
8	saying that?	
9	MR. STEINER: Objection to the	
10	form.	
11	A. I don't recall saying that in	
12	those words. I think I might well have said	
13	that he was trying to catch a turn, but it	
14	certainly didn't have to be a turn in a calendar	
15	quarter. I might have either said or trying to	
16	suggest that he would catch a turn that would	
17	could often last 90 days duration. Another way	
18	of saying that is three months, just distinct	
19	from a calendar quarter.	
20	Q. Do you recall in your experience	
21	with Mr. Madoff, was he always able to catch a	
22	turn at the beginning of a quarter of a calendar	
23	year?	
24	A. I don't remember.	
25	Q. Was he ever, excuse me, did he	

		445
1	ever exit a quarter I'm sorry.	
2	Were you ever not in treasuries at	
3	the end of a quarter of a calendar year?	
4	A. Probably	
5	MR. STEINER: End of the quarter	
6	or end of the calendar year?	
7	MR. SONG: End of a quarter of	
8	each calendar year.	
9	MR. SIEV: Objection to the form.	
10	A. Four quarters a year for however	
11	many years, so I'm just gonna say, 50 odd	
12	quarters. Were we ever in treasuries were we	
13	ever not in treasuries at the end of those 50	
14	odd quarters.	
15	Q. Instead being in the basket	
16	position, yes.	
17	A. I don't remember.	
18	Q. Did you ever check?	
19	A. I always knew whether we were	
20	invested or not, on any given day, unless there	
21	was activity going on, so we were partially	
22	coming in and I might have thought we were we	
23	were fully coming in, I might have thought we	
24	were partially coming in. But I checked every	
25	single day the P & L and the balance sheet. I	

		446
1	mean and the position sheet, were we invested,	
2	were we not invested, or how much.	
3	Q. Did Mr. Madoff ever tell you that	
4	it was important to his strategy to exit the	
5	market before the end of a quarter?	
6	A. No.	
7	Q. It was your understanding that the	
8	market turns that he was trying to catch by and	
9	large lasted 90 days?	
10	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
11	A. My understanding from a	
12	conversation with him?	
13	Q. Yes.	
14	A. Not that I specifically remember.	
15	Q. Before lunch we were discussing	
16	the recordings of telephone calls that you had	
17	with Mr. Madoff; do you recall that discussion?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. What mechanism did you use in	
20	order to record conversations with Mr. Madoff?	
21	A. Pushed the button on my telephone	
22	console, whatever you telephone box.	
23	Q. And was it a tape or a digital	
24	recorder or how exactly did it work?	
25	A. I told you the extent of what I	

		449
1	little bit because there's going to be too much.	
2	33 this is now toward the end.	
3	Q. Yes.	
4	A. Oh, here we go, one second. So	
5	I'm going to take 3384 to 3387, right?	
6	Q. That's correct. If you could just	
7	take a minute to look at 3384 to 3387.	
8	A. One second, please.	
9	You want me to actually read the	
10	whole thing?	
11	Q. If you can just review it and then	
12	tell me if you recognize it and then I'll ask	
13	you specific questions.	
14	A. Just one moment. Okay.	
15	Q. Do you recognize this document?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. Can you tell me what it is?	
18	A. It purports to be a transcript of	
19	a part of a conversation starting somewhere in	
20	the middle, that I had by telephone with	
21	Mr. Madoff on or around May 1st of 2000.	
22	Q. And you say on or around May 1st	
23	of 2000. The document itself is dated May 1st,	
24	2000, correct?	
25	A. Yes.	

		450
1	Q. Do you have any reason to believe	
2	it wasn't, this recording didn't happen on this	
3	date?	
4	A. I don't know whether it's the date	
5	that the transcript was made or the date that	
6	the recording took place.	
7	Q. Okay. And the first speaker there	
8	is listed with the letter B and a colon. Do you	
9	see that?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. Does that indicate that that's	
12	Mr. Madoff?	
13	A. I think so.	
14	Q. And the "E" indicates you,	
15	Mr. Merkin?	
16	A. Think so.	
17	Q. Do you recall why you recorded	
18	this conversation with Mr. Madoff on or about	
19	May 1st, 2000?	
20	A. I no.	
21	Q. If you on page 384, if you go	
22	about three-quarters of the way down	
23	A. 84?	
24	Q. Yes, first page.	
25	A. Yup, I got it.	

		451
1	Q. There is a sentence stated by you,	
2	says it's one of two possibilities. Do you see	
3	that?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. And you mention or you go on to	
6	say it's the introduction of a, you have a pair	
7	of accounts with us, we operate as one strategy	
8	but it comes from two accounts. We would then	
9	move from one pair to two pair. Do you see	
10	that?	
11	A. I do.	
12	Q. Does that mean anything to you?	
13	A. Today?	
14	Q. Yes.	
15	A. I'm guessing that that I'm	
16	guessing that the one pair refers to the Ascots	
17	and that the second pair refers to what I'll	
18	just call Gabriel.	
19	Q. Gabriel and Ariel having accounts?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. Do you recall earlier we talked	
22	we agreed that Ariel and Gabriel opened BLMIS	
23	accounts in August of 2000?	
24	A. Okay.	
25	Q. So, does that refresh your	

		452
1	recollection as to whether this conversation	
2	with Mr. Madoff was in regards to opening BLMIS	
3	accounts with Ariel for Ariel and Gabriel?	
4	A. I mean, that's why I was saying	
5	what I was saying. We had had that you and I	
6	had that discussion earlier today.	
7	Q. Can you turn to the next page on	
8	385?	
9	A. Says it's a few months away.	
10	Q. Yes. Can you turn to 385. The	
11	first entry that's attributed to you, do you see	
12	it says "we" and then there's a very long blank.	
13	A. Um-hum.	
14	Q. Before "weighted." Do you know	
15	why there is nothing transcribed between "we"	
16	and "weighted"?	
17	A. That would be anything other than	
18	a complete speculation? No.	
19	Q. If you look towards the bottom of	
20	the page you see the comment, the quotations or	
21	the words attributed to Mr. Madoff starting with	
22	"two more entities." Do you see that?	
23	A. Yes. Yes, I do.	
24	Q. Do you recall having Mr. Madoff	
25	saying this to you, what's listed here on 385?	

		461
1	A. Which will take not a lot of time,	
2	or do you want me to read it?	
3	Q. I want you to take a look to be	
4	able to identify it and then I'll ask you	
5	specific questions.	
6	A. Okay. But you don't want me to	
7	read it first? That's going to take a lot	
8	longer than to identify it.	
9	Q. Yes. Just be able to identify it,	
10	first.	
11	A. I'm ready to identify it.	
12	Q. What is it?	
13	MR. STEINER: As I'm sure Mr. Song	
14	will tell you, if you want to read the whole	
15	thing or feel like you need to read any parts of	
16	it answering his questions, you should.	
17	Certainly feel free to do so.	
18	MR. SONG: That's correct.	
19	MR. STEINER: If you want to hear	
20	his questions about specific parts first, that's	
21	fine.	
22	A. I'm sure Mr. Song would say the	
23	same thing.	
24	Q. And I do so.	
25	Mr. Merkin, do you recognize the	

		462
1	documents listed 364 through 373?	
2	A. It purports to be a transcription	
3	of a recorded telephone conversation between me	
4	and Mr. Madoff.	
5	Q. And is the date on or about	
6	January 14, 2002?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. And do you recall having a	
9	conversation with Mr. Madoff on or about this	
10	date?	
11	A. If you had not handed me this	
12	document first?	
13	Q. Yes.	
14	A. No.	
15	Q. Do you know whether or not Ms.	
16	Ferro transcribed this conversation?	
17	A. I'm guessing that she did. Do not	
18	know for sure.	
19	Q. Would anyone other than Ms. Ferro	
20	have transcribed conversations for you?	
21	A. Might have. Depends who else was	
22	in the office, depends who was around, depends	
23	who was available. She wasn't the only person	
24	who could have transcribed it.	
25	Q. Again there's a listing of a "B"	

		463
1	and an "E" here as to speakers. Do you see	
2	that?	
3	A. (Witness nods.)	
4	Q. Is it still your understanding	
5	that the "B" is Mr. Madoff and the "E" is you?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Could you turn to page 365, it's	
8	the second page. Towards the bottom you see	
9	where there's a handwritten note?	
10	A. Um-hum.	
11	Q. Do you know whose handwriting that	
12	is?	
13	A. I don't. It's not mine. And the	
14	rest is a speculation.	
15	Q. Going back to 364.	
16	A. 360?	
17	Q. 4.	
18	A. Got it.	
19	Q. In the first paragraph you see	
20	Mr. Madoff is saying, why anybody would feel	
21	that they don't have transparency as to the	
22	strategy. Do you see that?	
23	A. No. Oh, it's the very beginning.	
24	Q. Very beginning.	
25	A. Um-hum. Okay.	

		464
1	Q. And you respond: "Actually,	
2	Bernie, all the better for you. The worse for	
3	me."	
4	A. Yes. Yes, that's what that says.	
5	Q. Do you know what that means?	
6	A. I can speculate today what that	
7	refers to.	
8	Q. To the best of your recollection,	
9	do you know what that means?	
10	A. This statement to which this is	
11	responding	
12	Q. Yes.	
13	A is the middle of the	
14	conversation. Our telephone conversation did	
15	not start by Mr. Madoff getting on the phone and	
16	saying why anybody would feel that they don't	
17	have transparency. I can't imagine. That's not	
18	how conversations start.	
19	So I can speculate what the	
20	subject of that is and why that's the response	
21	and what about the fact that's alluded to above	
22	makes Bernie's life easier and my life harder,	
23	but I don't have an independent memory of that	
24	today. So, if you want the independent memory,	
25	I don't have one.	

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

465

Q. What is your understanding today of what that means?

Α. My quess today, my speculation today is Bernie first says, how can anybody think they don't have transparency. Meaning they have the transparency as to the strategy, they get the confirmations. Then he stops in the middle of the sentence and says, actually, no, that's not true. Meaning he knows that there are limited partners or, if that's the proper structure, in the pooled vehicle who don't get the tickets. In other words, don't get the confirmations. Let's not use the word tickets. Who don't get confirmations and don't have that kind of transparency, so he says, oh, that's not true -- actually you -- what do you need me to go back over?

So they don't get the confirmations. I do. He says, actually, you know that's not true, actually you have the transparency, Ezra has the transparency, they don't. Now I understand. I'm not sure what he now understands. It's a reference to something that I said before that he now understands but there's no recording of what I said before. And

to save you the question, I don't have a clue what I said before in terms of what I remember of this conversation.

- Q. Okay.
- A. So I say to him, yes, the better for you, the worse for me, meaning they're my -they're now my clients, not his clients. His
  customer is the funds, my clients are my limited
  partners and because I do have the transparency
  I have to explain to them what the strategy is
  about and what we're doing and so on and so
  forth. But at least I have the transparency to
  do so. This is significant. That's my
  speculation as to what those three lines mean.
- Q. And in the fourth entry down, the second one that's attributed to you, do you see where it says, if I get it wrong by more than plus or minus 25 million --
  - A. I'm not with you, sorry.
  - Q. Oh.
  - A. How far down the page?
- Q. Top quarter, top quarter of the page. And you see where -- let me go one sentence down, it says if someone asks me what Bernie is managing --

		479
1	Goldman and Morgan does to help corporate	
2	clients either raise money, raise debt, raise	
3	equity, sell themselves, buy something else,	
4	help them buy somebody to intake them private or	
5	help themselves go private.	
6	So that on his list of names he	
7	had no impairments as to what he could own in	
8	the basket.	
9	If it's transcribed, I can	
10	probably find it for you, but then you have to	
11	let me read the whole thing.	
12	Q. Well, we'll see if we can find it	
13	at some point today.	
14	A. Okay.	
15	Q. If you go to the next page and you	
16	see the second B where Mr. Madoff says: "What	
17	would you say if I told you I don't make 1-1/2	
18	percent"?	
19	A. Um-hum.	
20	Q. Do you know what he's referring to	
21	there?	
22	A. In the paragraph above it?	
23	Q. I'm trying to see if you can	
24	explain to me what he's referring to.	
25	A. Um-hum.	

480 1 Yeah, so this is a conversation, 2 this is a link in a conversational chain that 3 we've had for a long period of time, which is 4 what is Bernie making on his money management 5 business expressed as a percentage of those 6 assets. 7 Q. And here he's telling you it's 8 1-1/2 percent? 9 Α. I don't know if that's exactly 10 what he's telling me. 11 Or less than 1-1/2 percent? Q. 12 Α. The suggestion is it's in the 13 1-1/2 percent or somewhat less ballpark. 14 And did you wonder why Mr. Madoff Q. 15 didn't organize himself as a pooled vehicle? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And what was Mr. Madoff's Q. 18 explanation for that? 19 I think it's in one of these --20 certainly one of the conversations, but I think 21 I remember it having been transcribed. It's not 22 the way he wanted to do business. He had very 23 decent margins in terms of what he was doing in 24 the money management business. 25 In addition to those margins or,

		493
1	sound of his voice, I don't know.	
2	Q. Other than in this conversation	
3	with Mr. Elden, did you have any conversations	
4	with any other BLMIS investor where you	
5	discussed a Ponzi scheme?	
6	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
7	A. I didn't discuss Ponzi scheme. I	
8	wasn't the person who said anything about a	
9	Ponzi scheme.	
10	Q. Did any other BLMIS investor other	
11	than Mr. Elden ever mention Ponzi scheme to you	
12	in the context of BLMIS?	
13	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
14	A. Not that I recall.	
15	Q. You can put that one aside and	
16	we're going to turn to 368.	
17	A. 368?	
18	Q. Yes.	
19	A. So this is part of this? Yes.	
20	Okay.	
21	I know you're Nancy. Are you	
22	Nancy Bendish?	
23	THE REPORTER: Yes.	
24	Q. Trustee's 368 is a transcription	
25	of an audio file produced to us as bd.mp3.	

		494
1	A. Um-hum.	
2	Q. We're going to try to do the same	
3	exercise. Put on the headphones, we'll play it.	
4	A. All right. Let me set up these	
5	things here.	
6	MR. STEINER: Brian, are you going	
7	to give us the I know you did some sort of	
8	enhancement, are you going to give us copies of	
9	that?	
10	MR. SONG: Yes. That's what these	
11	are. Short answer is yes.	
12	MR. STEINER: I don't need it	
13	right now. I thought that you did something to	
14	the audio to try to enhance the quality.	
15	MR. SONG: Tried to enhance the	
16	quality by minimizing some of the background	
17	noise, but, yes, we'll get you that.	
18	Q. Are you ready?	
19	A. Yeah. I took them off because it	
20	sounded like you guys were talking.	
21	MR. SONG: Oleg, go ahead.	
22	(Audio played.)	
23	A. Wait, wait. Can we start that	
24	again? I didn't get it from the beginning, I	
25	don't think.	

		495
1	(Audio played.)	
2	A. Wait, I'm sorry. I don't want to	
3	be difficult. Doesn't somebody say something	
4	about Thursday, twice?	
5	Q. I don't hear that, but	
6	A. It sounded like something about	
7	Thursday and then something about Thursday. So	
8	that's why I thought I was reading the wrong	
9	transcript. "I'm here to start that" is somehow	
10	Thursday? I don't know. I haven't heard this	
11	before, I don't think.	
12	Q. We're on the right transcript. It	
13	could be you have a better ear for the voices	
14	than the rest of us did.	
15	A. Okay. But it's repeated. Anyway,	
16	doesn't matter.	
17	Q. Start from the beginning.	
18	A. Somebody agrees with me.	
19	(Audio played.)	
20	A. Okay.	
21	Q. Mr. Merkin, were you able to	
22	identify Male Voice number 1?	
23	A. Yes, Male Voice number 1 is	
24	Mr. Madoff.	
25	Q. And is Male Voice number 2 you?	

496 1 Α. I think so. No, no, sorry. 2 Well, let me ask one question. **Q**. 3 Α. No, I think this is wrongly 4 transcribed in that case. 5 Q. I want to clarify it with you. 6 Lines 11 and 12. 7 10, 11 and 12 identified here as Α. 8 Male Voice number 1 is me. 9 Q. Is you. 10 In addition to that, after Α. Right. 11 the word "specific" I say the word 12 "proposition," I believe, although I'd have to 13 listen to it again. 14 So here Male Voice number 2 is 15 Mr. Madoff. What follows from Male Voice number 16 1 is Mr. Madoff. It's still Mr. Madoff. I 17 think, I have to listen to this again because I 18 don't want to say this for sure without hearing 19 it a second time. 20 Q. Sure. 21 Α. But that's what I got. And then 22 so -- so I don't understand the beginning, that 23 I, I'm here to start that, right, exactly, 24 right, I didn't catch any of that the way it's 25 transcribed. I'm not sure that's what it says.

		497
1	Q. Okay.	
2	A. I want to listen to the whole	
3	thing again before I and you know something,	
4	if it's okay, can I just borrow this for a	
5	moment?	
6	Q. Sure.	
7	A. Can I write notes on this or do we	
8	have to put this into evidence, the whole thing?	
9	Then, you know what, thank you so	
10	much for your loan of the pen.	
11	Q. I will stipulate that I will not	
12	mark your notes on this document.	
13	A. I was just going to scribble in	
14	"proposition" because I heard it and I didn't	
15	have a pen. So let me listen to the whole thing	
16	again.	
17	Can I ask another question, can	
18	you play it more slowly or that doesn't work?	
19	Q. Doesn't work. We can play it	
20	multiple times and, believe me, we've played it	
21	multiple times.	
22	A. Okay, I'm just asking. It's all	
23	right.	
24	Makes me wonder about the quality	
25	of the transcription of the ones that we did,	

		498
1	that we looked at before and how accurate they	
2	really are. Things that a transcriber thought	
3	were said that may have said something else	
4	entirely.	
5	(Audio played.)	
6	A. Hold that, hold that, hold that.	
7	Okay. You would make my life much easier, I	
8	hear him saying, if I don't do that. Didn't he	
9	just say that?	
10	Q. Yes, that's after the um-hum.	
11	A. No, it's not. It's before the	
12	um-hum. Am I talking too loud?	
13	Q. No.	
14	A. Because I could really, I can	
15	really barely hear you.	
16	He says, I would, it would make my	
17	life much easier. Could I do this without these	
18	on so we can all do this together?	
19	Q. Sure.	
20	A. If it's not important, Brian, I'm	
21	happy to pass.	
22	Q. I want to get your recollection	
23	and your identification of these voices.	
24	A. I now really am borrowing this.	
25	MR. STEINER: Here, write on this	

		499
1	as opposed to the exhibit.	
2	THE WITNESS: Ever see a guy that	
3	devoted to a client? Throws his stuff in front	
4	of yes, sorry.	
5	MR. BITMAN: Ready?	
6	THE WITNESS: Yup. But you've got	
7	to stop it when I say stop it, okay?	
8	MR. STEINER: It's Oleg, it's not	
9	the videographer.	
10	THE WITNESS: Oh, it's Oleg.	
11	Oleg, how are you buddy? Okay.	
12	(Audio played.)	
13	A. It's just repeated.	
14	(Audio played).	
15	A. Stop. So what happened to "if I	
16	don't do that"? It's not here. It says, it	
17	would make my life much easier, dot dot dot.	
18	Q. And he interrupts with an um-hum.	
19	A. Oh, okay. So let's forget the	
20	um-hum. So Male Voice 1 and Male Voice 1 there	
21	is Madoff.	
22	Q. Okay.	
23	A. Okay. We'll turn this into a skit	
24	before we're done here.	
25	Okay. Thank you. You want to	

		500
1	pick it up from where we left it off or you want	
2	to go back to the beginning?	
3	MR. BITMAN: Up to you.	
4	A. It's up to Brian.	
5	(Audio played).	
6	A. Stop, stop. "If I don't do that"	
7	is the end of Madoff. Okay. "You have no idea	
8	how interested I am as a general and specific	
9	proposition," I believe I will add, "in making	
10	your life easier."	
11	Who am I, 2 or 1?	
12	Q. You are 2.	
13	A. Okay, you want to do this E and B?	
14	Q. Sure. As long as you're	
15	identifying that sentence as your voice.	
16	A. Okay. 2 starts again with you	
17	have no idea, but I want to hear it again.	
18	THE REPORTER: You've got to slow	
19	down.	
20	THE WITNESS: I forgot, I forgot,	
21	I'm sorry.	
22	A. We're going to go back to "if I	
23	don't do that." That is Bernie for sure.	
24	Q. So line 10 is Mr. Madoff?	
25	A. Right. Then line 11 I believe is	

		501
1	me but I'd like to hear line 11 again.	
2	(Audio played.)	
3	A. Stop. Okay, that's me.	
4	Q. So 11 and 12 are you?	
5	A. Right. Now we're up to 13.	
6	(Audio played.)	
7	A. Okay. So I didn't catch line 13	
8	and I would argue it doesn't matter.	
9	Q. Okay.	
10	A. If you want me to hear it again,	
11	I'll listen to it again.	
12	Q. No, that's fine.	
13	A. I didn't even hear the word "okay"	
14	but it doesn't matter. It's just an	
15	interruption or it's a vocal pause.	
16	Q. Is line 14 through 19 Mr. Madoff?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. And is lines 21 through 23	
19	Mr. Madoff?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. Now that we've gotten that out of	
22	the way.	
23	A. Now it makes more sense.	
24	Q. Do you recall having this	
25	conversation with Mr. Madoff?	

		502
1	A. A version of this conversation. I	
2	recall something like this, yes.	
3	Q. What is this conversation about?	
4	A. I think he's turning me down on	
5	something, okay, and he's saying, very politely,	
6	no.	
7	Q. Do you recall what that something	
8	was?	
9	A. What's the subject of the	
10	conversation?	
11	Q. Yes.	
12	A. I'm not sure. If there's some	
13	possibility I wanted more capacity and he's	
14	saying, you know, the whole idea of doing this,	
15	it doesn't matter to you, doesn't matter, I	
16	don't want not going to change your	
17	lifestyle, then I'm not gonna, I'm just gonna	
18	pass.	
19	Q. If you could slow down a little	
20	bit for Nancy's sake.	
21	THE REPORTER: I'm going to need a	
22	vacation after this deposition.	
23	THE WITNESS: I didn't tell you we	
24	have to do this for another two days?	
25	MR. SONG: That's on the record,	

503 1 Neil. 2 THE WITNESS: That was an 3 interrogatory. 4 Q. Did you ever have --5 Α. But it's also very possible that, 6 and perhaps even more likely, that we had had 7 ongoing conversations over the years about his 8 doing a program for us in LEAPS, and at some 9 point he definitely communicated to me that he 10 didn't want to do the LEAPS. He had looked into 11 it, the market was too small for his overall 12 book, he might have done it for us and perhaps 13 one or two other persons because it might have 14 been large enough to accommodate a very small 15 fraction of his overall book, and then in the 16 end the decision was he was going to pass 17 entirely. 18 What I remember is the pass 19 entirely sort of rings a distant bell. 20 Lifestyle is a theme that comes in other 21 conversations. So, I think this is -- my guess 22 is this is a decent likelihood that this is 23

Did you also have any discussions Q. with Mr. Madoff regarding a leveraged product?

where he turns me down on doing LEAPS.

24

25

A. Yes.

Q. Did Mr. Madoff ever tell you that he was not interested in doing a leveraged product?

A. No. Mr. Madoff gave us a lot of green light on the leveraged product and we thought we had identified the source of credit for the leveraged product, which is what you need to have a leveraged product in the identity of two very large established French banks or their New York offices and they ran a whole program of due diligence on Madoff and got to, yes, as did, obviously, he or wouldn't have started.

In the end that idea failed to happen. We never did a leveraged product because the cost of the debt I thought was too high relative to, as a range, what might have been the expected returns.

- Q. Now, if you can turn to Trustee's 369, which is the last of these transcripts.
  - A. How many transcripts are there?
  - Q. There's three.
- A. So this is a fourth document that's not a transcript?

		505
1	MR. STEINER: No. You have mine	
2	that you were writing notes on.	
3	Q. You have Mr. Steiner's which is	
4	not a Trustee marked exhibit.	
5	THE WITNESS: Sorry about that.	
6	Q. So if you can turn to Trustee's	
7	369, which is a transcript of audio file 1	
8	A. So we just did 368.	
9	Q. We just did 368.	
10	A. Okay, that's my confusion. Thank	
11	you. Got it.	
12	Q. This is a transcript of an audio	
13	file 1bf.mp3. Try this one more time.	
14	A. Are we still doing this?	
15	Q. Yes.	
16	A. Oh.	
17	Nancy is psyched; she doesn't have	
18	to write for a while.	
19	(Audio played.)	
20	A. Okay.	
21	Q. Mr. Merkin, can you identify who	
22	Male Voice number 1 is?	
23	A. Yes. That's Mr. Madoff.	
24	Q. And then on line 5, do you see	
25	where it says "auto flow phonetic"?	

			506
1	Α.	Yes.	
2	Q.	Should that be order flow?	
3	Α.	Might be. I mean, I was	
4	wouldn't say	that it is, but certainly might be.	
5	Q.	And are you able to identify Male	
6	Voice number	2?	
7	Α.	Yes. That's me.	
8	Q.	And do you recall having this	
9	conversation	with Mr. Madoff?	
10	А.	Not specifically, no.	
11	Q.	Do you know what your next page	
12	where you re	ference Bayou.	
13	Α.	Do you have some idea what is said	
14	on line 22?		
15	Q.	I do not.	
16	Α.	Okay.	
17	Q.	That's why the dashes are there.	
18	А.	Can possibly figure that one out.	
19	Q.	See on page 3 you reference Bayou.	
20	Α.	Um-hum.	
21	Q.	What is Bayou?	
22	Α.	Let me just say that line 3, as I	
23	listened to	it very quickly I thought I said I'm	
24	sure you got	one or two. But I could listen	
25	again and te	all you if that's what I really said	

		507
1	or not. And it doesn't make a lot of difference	
2	but that's what I heard rather than "one too."	
3	Q. Okay. Why don't we play it again	
4	and you can put your headphones on or you can	
5	listen.	
6	A. Okay.	
7	(Audio played.)	
8	A. Could you just hold for a second?	
9	Where it says we should reinstitute dash dash	
10	dash dash, it's something for order flow. You	
11	see that line 12?	
12	Q. Um-hum.	
13	A. You want to play it again? I'm	
14	asking you, you don't have to. It's up to you,	
15	Brian.	
16	Q. I want to get it as accurate as	
17	possible.	
18	A. If that's what you want, then do	
19	you mind playing that again.	
20	(Audio played.)	
21	A. Stop. I think that's what it	
22	says.	
23	Q. Okay.	
24	A. Not sure, but I think that's what	
25	it says.	

		508
1	Okay, go ahead. Sorry, line 14.	
2	(Audio played.)	
3	Q. My original question was what is	
4	Bayou?	
5	A. I'm not sure if it's one or two;	
6	it could be "one too." This transcript could be	
7	correct.	
8	Q. Okay.	
9	A. Bayou is a was a fund, you'll	
10	do a better job in supplying the date than I	
11	will, that ended up in scandal, the details of	
12	which I don't remember at the moment, but I	
13	think monies were stolen, people went to jail,	
14	so on and so forth.	
15	Q. Do you recall having conversations	
16	with Mr. Madoff regarding Bayou, other than in	
17	this transcript?	
18	A. I do.	
19	Q. And what were those conversations?	
20	A. I recall his maybe this is the	
21	conversation I recall, something about when	
22	there's a scandal or a scam I guess is the word	
23	that's used here in the hedge fund industry	
24	questions come up about other managers	
25	routinely. One of those managers questions	

come up about a lot of funds, about a lot of different strategies. At that time I was sitting on investment committees and so the investment committee people would ask, well, Bayou — none of the committees that I chaired had investments with Bayou. So we weren't focused on Bayou, Bayou was not a particularly big issue for those committees or in my office.

But an issue with a fund might provoke questions about other funds, and questions might easily come up about Bernie because so many of the persons who were investors of his didn't have the transparency. They just got a number, so at that point you would say, you might if you were the recipient of that information, here is at least something that looks — that all I have is a number. Do I have any evidence of the trades.

So that's why, as people reacted to a scam by saying, oh, let's try to figure out if all of my money is safe in all these other places, you get lots of questions about other places, and questions about Bernie as well.

I just must say, Bernie sounds incredibly relaxed about the whole thing, as I

		510
1	listen to it today. Where you can sort of hear	
2	him a little bit kind of working the con, in a	
3	way.	
4	Q. Did you do anything as a result	
5	did you change your due diligence practices as a	
6	result of Bayou?	
7	A. I don't I certainly spent time	
8	talking to Madoff about issues that related to	
9	Bayou. I'm not sure that we announced a whole	
10	new revision to our due diligence procedures.	
11	MR. SONG: Now is a good point for	
12	a break.	
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record	
14	2:52 p.m.	
15	(Recess taken.)	
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on 3:12.	
17	BY MR. SONG:	
18	Q. Mr. Merkin, if you could turn to	
19	Trustee's Exhibit 354 and go to page 5 of that	
20	exhibit. First full paragraph, within that	
21	first full paragraph there's a discussion of the	
22	meetings that you arranged and participated	
23	between Mr. Madoff and certain investors in the	
24	funds. Do you see that?	
25	A. Starting with the third sentence?	

		512
1	Q. You're not aware of a direct	
2	investment with BLMIS?	
3	A. I'm not aware of one but I would	
4	not necessarily be aware of one.	
5	Q. And what were the circumstances	
6	related to the meeting that you set up between	
7	Mr. Bravmann and Mr. Madoff?	
8	A. Don't remember.	
9	Q. Do you recall what time frame this	
10	was in?	
11	A. No.	
12	Q. Did you attend that meeting?	
13	A. I don't remember.	
14	Q. Did you have did you take any	
15	notes of that meeting?	
16	A. I don't remember.	
17	Q. And do you know if you have any	
18	documentation at all evidencing this meeting?	
19	A. Did we produce any, may I ask?	
20	Q. Would you have had an email, say,	
21	with Mr. Bravmann setting up the meeting?	
22	A. I might have. I don't know. I	
23	would not testify that we didn't but I don't	
24	I don't know that that's the way it would have	
25	happened. It may have just been telephone calls	

		513
1	that, for all I know, my secretary did and got	
2	the times that worked for both of them.	
3	They were they meaning neither	
4	Mr. Bravmann or Mr. Madoff, as best as I can	
5	remember, were very big on emails.	
6	Q. The next two names on the list	
7	here are Alec Hackel and Christof Reichmuth. Do	
8	you see those?	
9	A. I do.	
10	Q. Who is Alec Hackel?	
11	A. Alec Hackel is a, I think, German,	
12	of German origination, possibly a spot of South	
13	Africa in there as well, very senior figure in	
14	the commodities industry at Philip Brothers and	
15	at Marc Rich & Company, who was based, in	
16	relevant times, in Zug, Switzerland or in	
17	Meggen, Switzerland and was, I think, the	
18	chairman of the board, but certainly the senior	
19	figure from the point of view of the	
20	capitalization of a money management operation,	
21	later licensed Swiss bank, called Reichmuth &	
22	Company that had been started by Christof	
23	Reichmuth's father Carl.	
24	And perhaps to save you some	
25	questions, at which Patrick Erne, which is the	

	J. Ella Weikin	
		515
1	I would have conjectured 2000 but, you know,	
2	it's not a different not a completely	
3	different answer.	
4	Q. Do you recall attending the	
5	meeting with Mr. Reichmuth and Mr. Madoff?	
6	A. I recall attending meetings at	
7	Mr. Madoff's office with various members of the	
8	Reichmuth staff. I'm not sure I remember this	
9	one specifically.	
10	Q. Okay. Do you recall what the	
11	purposes were of the do you recall what the	
12	purposes of the meeting between Mr. Reichmuth	
13	and Mr. Madoff were?	
14	A. Broadly speaking, Reichmuth &	
15	Company had money management clients, of which	
16	possibly Mr. Hackel was one and then had a whole	
17	series of clients who I didn't know, and they	
18	had over a period of time were in the process	
19	of setting up at least one and probably two what	
20	became fairly large fund of funds.	
21	In the first one, chronologically,	
22	they had a very large position relative to the	
23	size of the fund in Ascot Fund Limited.	
24	Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Reichmuth	
25	that BLMIS only acted as a broker for Ascot	

		529
1	A. It looks like an email from me to	
2	Mike.	
3	Q. And below that is an email between	
4	you and Mr. Igolnikov on March 13th, 2004?	
5	A. Um-hum. Yes.	
6	Q. Does this email indicate to you	
7	that Mr you had already had the meeting	
8	between Mr. Igolnikov and Mr. Madoff at this	
9	point?	
10	A. It suggests that it probably had	
11	taken place.	
12	Q. You see where it says, "I	
13	appreciate very much your effort in putting our	
14	meeting together"?	
15	A. Right. Not clear from that	
16	sentence that the meeting was either a week	
17	earlier or was coming the next week.	
18	Q. Around this time period you recall	
19	having a meeting with	
20	A. Right. My guess is this is the	
21	thank you note.	
22	Q. And do you recall what the purpose	
23	of this meeting was?	
24	A. Largely the same as other	
25	purposes. Roman wanted to meet with Mr. Madoff.	

		530
1	It sounds like he may otherwise have had some	
2	difficulty in doing so from the third sentence,	
3	and was appreciative of the access and of the	
4	meeting.	
5	Q. To your understanding was this the	
6	first time Mr. Igolnikov met with Mr. Madoff?	
7	A. I don't remember, if I knew.	
8	Q. Do you recall did you attend	
9	that meeting between Mr. Igolnikov and	
10	Mr. Madoff?	
11	A. I don't remember.	
12	Q. Did Mr. Igolnikov ask to bring	
13	anyone with him to the meeting?	
14	A. I don't remember.	
15	Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Igolnikov	
16	that BLMIS does not take visitors?	
17	A. Did I ever tell that to Roman?	
18	Q. Yes.	
19	A. While setting up a meeting?	
20	Q. Yes.	
21	A. No.	
22	Q. Did you tell Mr. Igolnikov that it	
23	was a big deal for you to take him to see	
24	Mr. Madoff?	
25	A. No. Certainly not that I	

		562
1	A. That's what I think that says.	
2	Q. What are you referring to here by	
3	"block box"?	
4	A. Oh, black box here means what	
5	perhaps, in a word, Mr. Sheehan referred to	
6	yesterday as algorithm.	
7	Q. Isn't that the proprietary trading	
8	strategy that Madoff purportedly had?	
9	A. Well, it's about it, yes. But I'm	
10	saying it's the algorithm, it's the black box.	
11	This has nothing to do now with transparency.	
12	This has to do with, as this suggests, the years	
13	of following market patterns helped the firm	
14	perfect its, if you will, entry and exit cues.	
15	Q. Okay. Other than this call on	
16	November 7th, did you have another call	
17	A. And then that's consistent with	
18	constantly spend money improving that system.	
19	Q. Okay. And the notes "and would	
20	hope to visit," is that "Mr. Madoff's offices"?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. "This month."	
23	A. "Later this month," yes. Sorry.	
24	That says 885 there.	
25	Q. During this call or, actually, did	

```
635
1
     here in the next 20 minutes or so.
2
                   Let me get tab 8, 6, 7 and I guess
3
     there should be 9.
4
                   MR. STEINER: But not 6, 7, 8 and
5
     9?
6
            Α.
                   This goes back to yesterday,
7
     right, Brian?
8
                   MR. STEINER: Doesn't matter.
9
                   MR. SONG: Doesn't matter. It's
10
     all one.
11
                   Doesn't matter.
           Q.
12
                   (Comments off the record.)
13
                   (Exhibit Trustee 375 marked for
14
     identification.)
15
            Q.
                   Mr. Merkin, before we get to the
16
     exhibit, you testified I believe yesterday
17
     regarding personal exposure that you and your
18
     family had through the defendant funds to BLMIS.
19
     Do you recall that testimony?
20
                   I think I -- if I know what you
21
     are referring to, not that I'm reading your mind
22
     here, yes, I do.
23
                   You said -- I believe you said
            Q.
24
     that you had somewhere 110, $120 million in
25
     exposure; is that right?
```

		636
1	A. I don't think I said quite that,	
2	no. I think I said I don't think it was as high	
3	as 120. I think it might have been something	
4	closer to 110, 112, something like that. Across	
5	the	
6	Q. Across the defendant funds?	
7	A. Right.	
8	Q. The court reporter has handed you	
9	what's been marked as Trustee's Exhibit 375.	
10	What I would like to do is try to figure out	
11	exactly where the exposure lies.	
12	And let me say for the record	
13	Trustee's 375 is Bates number GCC-P 0463582. It	
14	is a native file, which is why the Bates number	
15	doesn't appear on the document.	
16	Mr. Merkin, do you recognize	
17	Trustee's 375?	
18	A. Does recognize mean have I seen	
19	this before and therefore can recognize it?	
20	Q. Yes.	
21	A. I don't really know. It says it's	
22	a list of the Ascot Partners LP investor capital	
23	accounts.	
24	Q. But you haven't seen this document	
25	or another document like it before?	

		637
1	A. I'm not sure. I'm not sure I've	
2	seen this document before.	
3	Q. Okay. Could you turn to the last	
4	page of the document.	
5	A. The very last page of the	
6	document?	
7	Q. Yes.	
8	A. Sure. Okay.	
9	Q. Do you see that there's, at the	
10	bottom of the page, certain entities that are	
11	broken out from the other limited partners?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. Is it your understanding that	
14	these entities listed here are associated with	
15	either you or your family?	
16	A. You're talking about lines 234 to	
17	238 or maybe I should say 240?	
18	Q. Yes.	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And the first one there is listed	
21	as Hobby Farm. What is Hobby Farm?	
22	A. It's some form of a family trust,	
23	I think.	
24	Q. Were you including Hobby Farm's	
25	investment in Ascot Partners in the \$110	

		638
1	million?	
2	A. Likely, yes.	
3	Q. Then the next one is Lauren	
4	Merkin?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. And Ms. Merkin is your wife,	
7	correct?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And you're including that amount	
10	as well?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. And then there is there's your	
13	name listed. You see that?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Is that you as a limited partner	
16	or as a general partner?	
17	A. I don't know. Does it say? I	
18	don't remember preparing this document so I	
19	can't really tell you.	
20	Q. Okay.	
21	A. Does this say I don't know. It	
22	doesn't say. Well, I've got to go back to the	
23	middle of it, I guess, to check.	
24	Q. And then below your and you're	
25	including	

		639
1	A. Sorry, sorry, I was	
2	checking something else. I'm with you now.	
3	Q. You're including the amount listed	
4	next to your name in the \$110 million?	
5	A. I don't remember doing this	
6	exercise quite this way, but I would imagine I	
7	did. Seems to me very likely that I included	
8	things in my own name.	
9	Q. Okay. And then you see LKM 2000	
10	Trust?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. What's the LKM 2000 Trust?	
13	A. It's a trust that I think relates	
14	in some form to Lauren K. Merkin.	
15	Q. And the investments in LKM Trust	
16	is included in the 110 million?	
17	A. Yeah, I mean they're not the	
18	next three investments are not terribly	
19	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't	
20	hear you.	
21	A. Yes, they are included.	
22	Q. And then below that is JEM 2000	
23	Trust?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. And that's a trust associated with	

			640
1	you?		
2	А.	I think so.	
3	Q.	And the investment by JEM Trust in	
4	Ascot Part	ners is included in the 110 million?	
5	A.	I would think so.	
6	Q.	Go back two pages.	
7	A.	One moment.	
8	Q.	Can you see, if you can look at	
9	A.	Two pages?	
10	Q.	Two pages.	
11	A.	Sorry. I went back one.	
12	Q.	There are two entities listed by	
13	154 and 15	5.	
14	A.	One moment, sir. Okay, I'm with	
15	you.		
16	Q.	Do you see 154 and 155?	
17	A.	I do.	
18	Q.	Merkin Trusts and Merkin Trusts 2?	
19	A.	I do see both.	
20	Q.	Do you know what those entities	
21	are?		
22	A.	Not off the top of my head.	
23	Q.	Do you know whether that, the	
24	investment	s by Merkin Trusts and Merkin Trusts	
25	2, are inc	luded in the 110 million?	

			641
1	Α.	Might very well have been, I'm not	
2	sure.		
3	Q.	Are there any other investors that	
4	you know of,	limited partners in Ascot Partners	
5	that are ass	ociated with you that you include in	
6	your 110 mil	lion dollar loss?	
7	Α.	I don't know. There might be.	
8	Q.	Going back to the last page, 218.	
9	Α.	Just take the clip off, just to	
10	make it easi	er.	
11		Yes.	
12	Q.	Sylvia Korngold.	
13	A.	One moment. Okay, I'm with you.	
14	Q.	Do you know who Sylvia Korngold	
15	is?		
16	A.	She is my mother-in-law.	
17	Q.	Are you including Ms. Korngold's	
18	loss?		
19	A.	Might have been.	
20	Q.	Under the terms of Ascot Partners'	
21	offering mem	orandum, were you required to have	
22	at least one	percent of Ascot Partners assets	
23	under manage	ment invested with the fund?	
24		MR. STEINER: Object to the form.	
25	A.	I don't remember.	

		642
1	Q. Are you familiar with a schedule	
2	K-1?	
3	A. Broadly speaking, yes.	
4	Q. What's your understanding of a	
5	K-1?	
6	A. A K-1 is a document I believe	
7	filed with the Internal Revenue Service and sent	
8	to individual limited partners as to taxes they	
9	may or may not own may or may not owe, o-w-e,	
10	to the Internal Revenue Service for their	
11	participation in a partnership in a given year.	
12	Q. Are you aware whether or not a K-1	
13	reflects capital contributions or capital	
14	withdrawals in that given year?	
15	A. No.	
16	Q. No, you're not aware or, no, it	
17	does not do that?	
18	A. No, I'm not aware.	
19	Q. Let me have 6.	
20	(Exhibit Trustee 376 marked for	
21	identification.)	
22	Q. Mr. Merkin, the court reporter has	
23	handed you what's been marked as Trustee's 376,	
24	GCC-P 0463580. Again, this has been produced to	
25	us natively, which is why the Bates numbers do	

		643
1	not appear on the page.	
2	Mr. Merkin, do you recognize 376?	
3	A. I can tell you what it purports to	
4	be.	
5	Q. What does it purport to be?	
6	A. It looks like it is the capital	
7	account summary of the shareholders or the	
8	registered names of the shareholders in Ariel	
9	Fund Limited.	
10	Q. It's as of November 30th, 2008?	
11	A. I don't know. If that's indicated	
12	here, I haven't found it yet.	
13	Q. See up at the top	
14	A. Yes, it is so indicated.	
15	Q. And did there come a time that you	
16	decided to defer your incentive and management	
17	fees in Ariel?	
18	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
19	A. Ask me the question again, please.	
20	Q. Did there come a time in which you	
21	decided to defer payment of your incentive and	
22	management fees associated with Ariel?	
23	A. I think the answer to that is yes	
24	and no.	
25	Q. Okay. Could you elaborate on the	

		644
1	yes and no.	
2	A. I think we tried to defer or we	
3	deferred the incentive and not the management.	
4	Q. If you turn to the last page of	
5	376.	
6	A. Okay. One moment. Yup, got it.	
7	Q. Do you see the two listings for	
8	Merkin deferral and Merkin deferral - directed?	
9	A. I do.	
10	Q. Do you know what the do you	
11	know what those accounts reflect?	
12	A. I'm not sure what your question	
13	is. What do you mean what do they reflect?	
14	Q. What is Merkin deferral and Merkin	
15	deferral - directed?	
16	A. Those that is I don't know	
17	what this piece of paper exactly pulls together	
18	or not, but it suggests an account of some sort	
19	or a, perhaps a liability of some sort from the	
20	fund to Merkin deferrals. Is this Ariel?	
21	Q. Yes.	
22	A. Of something like 285 or 286 or 7	
23	million dollars.	
24	Q. And that \$287 million that's	
25	listed here, is that your total deferred	

		645
1	compensation?	
2	MR. STEINER: Objection to form.	
3	Q. As of November 30th, 2008?	
4	A. I don't know. It could be. I'm	
5	just not sure.	
6	Q. And did you include some	
7	percentage of this 2 of your deferred	
8	compensation in Ariel as part of your part of	
9	the calculation of your 110 million dollar	
10	exposure?	
11	A. Just to be precise, I'm not sure	
12	or I'm as I sit here I don't recall that all	
13	of my deferred was in Ariel. And if this was	
14	all in Ariel, I would have included a percentage	
15	of this as managed as included in the figure	
16	that you asked me about.	
17	Q. Do you know what percentage you	
18	used?	
19	A. In calculating that number?	
20	Q. Yes.	
21	A. No.	
22	Q. But it would have reflected the	
23	percentage in which Ariel was invested at BLMIS	
24	as of 2000	
25	A. Presumably, yes.	

		646
1	Q summer 2008?	
2	A. I don't know as of what date. It	
3	would have reflected the percentage allocation	
4	to the Madoff strategies, or the Madoff	
5	positions.	
6	Q. Did you direct any of your	
7	deferred fees to be placed in Ascot Fund?	
8	A. I don't believe so. Ascot Fund	
9	didn't charge deferred it didn't charge	
10	incentive fees.	
11	Q. That's not my question. My	
12	question was for your deferred fees in Ariel,	
13	did you direct those fees to be invested	
14	directly into Ascot Fund?	
15	MR. STEINER: A portion of those	
16	fees?	
17	MR. SONG: Yes.	
18	A. Oh, that wasn't the question I was	
19	answering. So let me make sure I understand.	
20	You're asking, take this figure and did any	
21	percentage of that figure go into Ascot?	
22	Q. Yes.	
23	A. I don't remember. It certainly	
24	might have.	
25	Q. Almost there.	

		647
1	(Exhibit Trustee 377 marked for	
2	identification.)	
3	Q. Mr. Merkin, the court reporter has	
4	handed you what's been marked as Trustee's 377.	
5	It's Bates number is GCC-P 0463581. And I'll	
6	purport to you that it is a native file and it	
7	is also the Gabriel Capital accounts as of	
8	November 30th, 2008.	
9	Do you see that?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. Now if, again, you can turn to the	
12	last page of the document.	
13	A. I see that.	
14	Q. And you see towards the end	
15	there's a listing of GP next to the J. Ezra	
16	Merkin?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. And then, did you include some	
19	percentage of the amount listed, the 26 plus	
20	million dollars listed to the right, in your	
21	calculation of your 110 million dollar exposure	
22	in BLMIS?	
23	A. Likely, yes.	
24	Q. And, again, the percentage that	
25	you used was Gabriel's exposure to BLMIS at the	

end of 2008?

- A. I'm not sure as of what date, as I previously answered you, but it would have been the percentage of the fund's equity that was allocated to the Madoff positions.
- Q. Were there any other limited partners in Gabriel as of November 2008 that are associated with you or your family?
- A. I don't know. I mean, other than that one line item?
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. Might be.
- Q. Do you know whether or not any of these -- any of the limited partners listed as of November 30th, 2008 were included in your calculation of your 110 million dollar exposure?
- A. I guess the answer to the question is no, I don't know. They might have been.

  Want me to run through it and tell you?
- Q. If you can look through the list and see if you can identify any.
- A. Let's have a look. Well, the same strength of something you asked me before, the Korngold family is listed on line 88. That is the same name as my mother-in-law's last name,

	J. Leiu Weimin	<i></i>
		649
1	whom you asked me about previously.	
2	Q. Yes.	
3	A. I would have to go through this	
4	really line by line to check. I don't I'm	
5	not sure I can think of sort of material	
6	omissions. If so, it may not be big enough to	
7	chase down.	
8	This is Gabriel, right? So	
9	anything that's here would anyway be a	
10	percentage of it.	
11	Line 61, Good Partners may be a	
12	family trust or a family partnership.	
13	Q. Okay.	
14	A. And after that, I really have to	
15	go through it line by line. Which if you want	
16	me to, I will, but I'm not sure it's gonna make	
17	that big a difference to the overall calculation	
18	of the figure that you asked about.	
19	Q. To your knowledge other than the	
20	accounts that we've gone over, are there any	
21	other significant accounts that would that	
22	contributed to that \$110 million?	
23	MR. STEINER: And just to be	
24	clear, as to some that Mr. Merkin identified as	
25	to his in-laws, his testimony was he wasn't sure	

		650
1	whether they were included, not that they were	
2	included.	
3	MR. SONG: Yes. I just want to	
4	make sure we covered all the big accounts that	
5	would have contributed to the 110 million.	
6	A. There are none that come to mind	
7	at this time. I'm not sure that that means that	
8	there weren't any.	
9	MR. SONG: All right. Can we just	
10	take two minutes?	
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record,	
12	6:29.	
13	(Recess taken.)	
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on 6:36.	
15	MR. SONG: Before we close, for	
16	the record, we did hand out the CDs to counsel	
17	with the enhanced audio files. And with that, I	
18	have no further questions of Mr. Merkin.	
19	MS. ARCHER: No questions.	
20	MR. SIEV: Nothing, thanks.	
21	MR. STEINER: You're done.	
22	Thanks.	
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record	
24	6:36.	
25	(Deposition concluded.)	